## Codebook:

## **COMPARATIVE POLITICAL DATA SET I 1960-2011**

Klaus Armingeon, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner, Sarah Engler, Panajotis Potolidis, and Marlène Gerber

The Comparative Political Data Set 1960-2011 is a collection of political and institutional data which have been assembled in the context of the research projects "Die Handlungsspielräume des Nationalstaates" and "Critical junctures. An international comparison" directed by Klaus Armingeon and funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. This data set consists of (mostly) annual data for 23 democratic countries for the period of 1960 to 2011. In the cases of Greece, Spain and Portugal, political data were collected only for the democratic periods<sup>1</sup>. The data set is suited for cross national, longitudinal and pooled time series analyses.

The data set contains some additional demographic, socio- and economic variables. However, these variables are not the major concern of the project and are thus limited in scope. For more in-depth sources of these data, see the online databases of the OECD. For trade union membership, excellent data for European trade unions are available on CD from the Data Handbook by Bernhard Ebbinghaus and Jelle Visser (2000).

A few variables have been copied from a data set collected by Evelyne Huber, Charles Ragin, John D. Stephens, David Brady and Jason Beckfield (2004). We are grateful for the permission to include these data.

When using data from this data set, please quote both the data set and, where appropriate, the original source. Please quote this data set as:

Klaus Armingeon, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner, Sarah Engler, Panajotis Potolidis, and Marlène Gerber. 2013. *Comparative Political Data Set I 1960-2011*. Bern: Institute of Political Science, University of Bern.

Last updated: 2014-01-06

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data for Greece are missing during the period 1967-1973; data for Portugal are missing until 1975; and for Spain until 1976.

### **CONTENTS**

1. General variables	2
2. Governments	
3. Elections	
4. Women in parliaments	
5. Party system	
6. Institutions	
7. Openness of the economy	
8. Macroeconomic data	
9. Labour force data	
10. Industrial disputes and trade unions	
11. Public social expenditure and revenue data	
12. Family policy	
13. Labour market policy	
14. International Labour Organization	
15. Demographic data	_
Appendix	-
Literature	
Little atal v	······································

#### **VARIABLE LIST**

## 1. General variables

year Year of observation country Country names

countryn Country code: 1 Australia; 2 Austria; 3 Belgium; 4 Canada; 5 Denmark; 6 Fin-

land; 7 France; 8 Germany; 9 Greece; 10 Iceland; 11 Ireland; 12 Italy; 13 Japan; 14 Luxembourg; 15 Netherlands; 16 New Zealand; 17 Norway; 18 Portugal; 19

Spain; 20 Sweden; 21 Switzerland; 22 United Kingdom; 23 USA.

#### Note:

1. *Germany*: Data up to the end of 1990 are for the Federal Republic of Germany before reunification only (West Germany); unless otherwise indicated, data cover all of Germany from 1991 onwards.

### 2. Governments

gov\_right Cabinet composition: right-wing parties in percentage of total cabinet posts, weighted by days.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Source: Own calculations primarily based on Schmidt and Beyer (1992); from 1991 on from the political data published in the European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues). For details see Appendix 1.

gov\_cent Cabinet composition: centre parties in percentage of total cabinet posts, weighted by days.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Source: see variable gov\_right. For details see Appendix 1.

gov\_left Cabinet composition: social-democratic and other left parties in percentage of total cabinet posts, weighted by days.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Source: see variable gov\_right. For details see Appendix 1.

### Notes on cabinet composition:

- 1. Due to independents, the calculations of 'gov\_right', 'gov\_cent' and 'gov\_left' do not always add up to 100 percent.
- 2. Belgium 2010/11, Greece 2011 and Italy 1995/96, 2011 do not add up to 100 percent mainly because of the caretaker governments which were in office from 13.06.2010 until 06.12.2011 in Belgium and from 17.01.1995 until 17.05.1996 in Italy.
- 3. Canada 2005 does not add up to 100 percent because the House of Commons was dissolved upon the defeat of the government on 28 November 2005 and thus did not have any members at the end of the year.

govparty Cabinet composition (Schmidt-Index): (1) hegemony of right-wing (and centre) parties (gov\_left=0), (2) dominance of right-wing (and centre) parties (gov\_left<33.3), (3) balance of power between left and right (33.3<gov\_left<66.6), (4) dominance of social-democratic and other left parties (gov\_left>66.6), (5) hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties

(gov\_left=100). Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Italy 1995 (caretaker government).

Source: Own calculations according to Schmidt (1992).

#### Notes:

- Italy 1996: Because of many non-partisans in government this case is coded as a stand-off between left and right (3) even though the share of left parties in government is less than 33%.
- Where the sum of 'gov\_left', 'gov\_cent' and 'gov\_right' is not equal to 100 percent due to independents, the boundaries for the three groups were recalculated for the codes (2), (3) and (4) by taking the sum of the given entries as 100 percent. For example *Portugal 2005*:

gov\_right + gov\_cent + gov\_left = 61.12.

The total of 61.12 percent is the basis for the calculation of the new boundaries. 61.12/3 = 20.37 is in this case the new boundary for the lower third, replacing 33.3 percent. 20.37\*2 = 40.75 would be the new boundary for the upper third, replacing 66.6 percent. As gov\_left = 42.64, which is a higher value than the boundary for the upper third (=40.75), a (4) was entered.

gov\_new New ideological composition of cabinet: (0) no change, (1) change:

if cabinet ideological composition (govparty) changed from last to present year.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: see variable govparty
Source: own calculations.

gov\_gap 'Ideological gap' between new and old cabinets. The gap is calculated as the difference of the index value (govparty) of the outgoing and the incoming governments. For an example, see the note below.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable govparty. Source: own calculations.

#### Note:

1. How to calculate gov\_gap (an example): The cabinet in Australia in 1996 is coded (2) for the variable 'govparty' (dominance of right- and centre parties). As in 1995, the government of Australia had a hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties, coded (5) for the variable 'govparty', the value for 'gov\_gap' in 1996 would thus be (-3), calculated as the difference in the ideologies of the outgoing (2) and the incoming governments (5).

gov\_type Type of Government. Classification: (1) single party majority government, (2) minimal winning coalition, (3) surplus coalition, (4) single party minority government, (5) multi party minority government, (6) caretaker government (temporarily).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: 1960-1994: Woldendorp/Keman/Budge (1998, 2011); 1995 onwards (USA from 1991 onwards): own calculations based on European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues) according to the following definition of Woldendorp/Keman/Budge (2000: 17f.):

- (1) single party majority government
- = one party takes all governments seats and has a parliamentary majority [>50.0%]
- (2) minimal winning coalition
- = all participating parties are necessary to form a majority government [>50.0%]
- (3) surplus coalition
- = this comprises those coalition governments, which exceed the minimal-winning criterion [>50.0%]
- (4) single party minority government
- = the party in government does not possess a majority in Parliament [>50.0%]
- (5) multi party minority government
- = the parties in government do not possess a majority in Parliament [>50.0%]
- (6) caretaker government (temporarily).
- = the government formed is not intended to undertake any kind of serious policy-making, but is only minding the shop temporarily

#### Notes:

- 1. The indicator refers to the type of government that was in office for the longest period each year.
- 2. Changes in the type of government are not captured by gov\_type if they happened during a term of government (see variable 'gov\_chan'). An example is Ireland 2009, where some members of parliament left the governing party, which changed the type of government of Cowen I from a surplus to a minimial winning coalition.
- 3. We classified a government as a caretaker government if it was either a technocratic one (see for example Italy 1995) or after elections when it was in office due to a very long formation process (longer than 1 year; as in Belgium 2010). We did not classify a government as caretaker government if the governing coalition broke up but the government (in a modified composition) remained in power until new elections took place (see for example Netherlands 2010).
- gov\_chan Number of changes in government per year [termination of government due to (a) elections, (b) resignation of the Prime Minister, (c) dissension within government,(d) lack of parliamentary support, or (e) intervention by the head of state (Woldendorp/Keman/Budge 1998)].

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable gov\_type. <u>Source</u>: see variable gov\_type.

### 3. Elections

elect

Date of election of national parliament (lower house). (If there were two elections in a year, the date of the second is given).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various

issues); Mackie & Rose (1991); Keesing's Archive; Parline database

(http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp).

vturn

Voter turnout in election.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues); Mackie & Rose (1991); Keesing's Archive; Neue Zürcher Zeitung; Parline database (http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp). For the 1998, 2000 and 2002 US-elections, the voter turnout was gathered from the United States Elections Project, available at http://elections.gmu.edu/voter\_turnout.htm

(Downloaded: 2007-03-28).

As a general rule we include data on votes and seats for a party if it reached at least 2% of the vote share in an election. If it did not reach that threshold, data for this party was not entered for this election (neither on votes nor on seats); rather it received a zero (see Appendix).

social1

Share of votes of the party classified as social1. Parties are classified according to Lane, McKay and Newton (1997).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

For details about the classification and the period covered for each country, see the Appendix 3.

social2

Share of votes of the party classified as social2.

etc.

ssocial1

Share of seats in parliament for the party classified as social1. Parties are classified according to Lane, McKay and Newton (1997).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

For details about the classification and the period covered for each country, see the Appendix 3.

ssocial2

Share of seats in parliament for the party classified as social2.

etc.

### 4. Women in parliaments

womenpar Percentage of women in parliaments. Entries refer to the composition of the parliament at the end of the corresponding year.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: Inter-Parliamentary Union (1995); Inter-Parliamentary Union (1997); Inter-Parliamentary Union Homepage, <a href="http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/classif-arc.htm">http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/classif-arc.htm</a> (Downloaded: 2013-01-30).

#### Note:

1. In bicameral systems, data is taken for the lower house.

## 5. Party system

rae\_ele Index of *electoral* fractionalization of the party system according to the formula [F] proposed by Rae (1968).

 $rae\_ele=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m}v_{i}^{2}$  , where  $v_{i}$  is the share of votes for party i and m the number

of parties.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

rae\_leg Index of *legislative* fractionalization of the party system according to the formula [F] proposed by Rae (1968).

 $rae\_leg = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i^2$ , where  $s_i$  is the share of seats for party i and m the number

of parties.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

effpar\_ele Effective number of parties on the *votes* level according to the formula [N<sub>2</sub>] proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index and is calculated from this index as fol-

lows:  $effpar\_ele = 1 / (1 - rae\_ele)$ 

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

effpar\_leg Effective number of parties on the *seats* level according to the formula [N<sub>2</sub>] proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index and is calculated from this index as follows: *effpar leg* = 1 / (1 – rae leg)

Devied asserted: 1000 2011

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

dis\_abso Index of absolute disproportionality. For example, a score of 1 means that on the seats level there is, on average, one (effective) party less than on the votes level. 'Absolute' refers to the calculation of the differences between the effective number of parties in elections and parliaments without taking the fractionalization of the party system into account. The more fractionalized a party sytem, the greater the likelihood – ceteris paribus – of high values of dis\_abso.

The index is calculated as follows:

dis\_abso = effpar\_ele - effpar\_leg

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

dis\_rel Index of relative disproportionality. This index is weighted by the total number of

parties on the *votes* level. It is calculated as follows:

dis\_rel = (effpar\_ele - effpar\_leg) / effpar\_ele

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

dis\_gall Index of disproportionality according to the formula [least squares] proposed by

Gallagher (1991). Calculated as follows:

 $dis_{-}gall = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(v_{i}-s_{i})^{2}}$  , where  $v_{i}$  is the share of *votes* for party i,  $s_{i}$  is the

share of seats for party i and m the number of parties

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: own calculations.

#### 6. Institutions

The variables Ifirst to Ibank come from Lijphart (2012: 305-309). They concern two dimensions of consensus democracies. The data for 1960 represent the period 1945-2010; data for 1981 represent the period 1981-2010. For definition of the variables, see Lijphart (2012). The index of central bank independence (Ibank) covers only the periods 1945-1994 and 1981-1994. The first years analyzed vary by country (for further information see, Lijphart 2012: 49).

Ifirst First (executives-parties) dimension
Isec Second (federal-unitary) dimension
Ieff Effective number of parliamentary parties
Imin Minimal winning one-party cabinets (%)

lexe Index of executive dominance Idis Index of disproportionality (%) Iint Index of interest group pluralism

Ifed Index of federalism Index of bicameralism

Irid Index of constitutional rigidity

ljud Index of judicial review

Ibank Index of central bank independence

<u>Period covered</u> for Lijphart's variables (except index of central bank independence): 1960-2010, central bank independence: 1960-1994.

Ifirstp Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable (for details see Appendix 4).

Period covered: 1969-2011.

Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.

Source: own calculations.

Ifirstpi Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable institutions (for details see Appendix 4).

Period covered: 1969-2011.

Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.

Source: own calculations.

Ifirstpb Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable behavior (see Appendix 4).

Period covered: 1969-2011.

Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.

Source: own calculations.

instcons

Index of institutional constraints of central state government according to Schmidt (1996); Minimum value=0; Maximum value=6; Range of data: 0 to 5, with high values indicadting powerful constraints and low values indicating that the central government has a great deal of room for maneuverability. Description: additive index composed of 6 dummy variables ('1' = constraints,

Description: additive index composed of 6 dummy variables ('1' = constraints, '0'=else): (1) EU membership =1, (2) degree of centralisation of state structure (federalism =1), (3) difficulty of amending constitutions (very difficult =1) (4) strong bicameralism =1 (5) central bank autonomy =1 (6) frequent referenda =1. Source: Schmidt (1996: 172) transformed into time variant annual data. Data for central bank autonomy taken from Beyeler (2007: 123).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Greece until 1973, Portugal until 1975 and Spain until 1976.

plural

Augmented index of institutional pluralism according to Colomer (1995); data for non-European states, Iceland and Luxembourg were added by Schmidt (1996). Minimum=0, maximum=7. 4 indicators (coded 0, 1 or 2): number of effective parties, bicameralism, elected president and decentralisation.

<u>Source</u>: Schmidt (1996: 172). Period covered: 1960-1996.

structur

Augmented index of constitutional structures based on Huber/Ragin/Stephens (1993: 728); we turned the time-invariant variable into a time-variant variable by adjusting for institutional changes, such as Belgian the shift to federalism in 1993. Countries not covered by Huber et al. (1993; Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, New Zealand, Portugal and Spain) were classified according to Huber et al. using information from national sources and comparative analyses such as Schmidt (1996).

Description: additive index composed of five indicators: (1) federalism (0=absence, 1=weak, 2=strong), (2) parliamentary government =0, versus presidentialism or other =1, (3) proportional representation =0, modified proportional representation=1, majoritarian=2, (4) bicameralism (0 = no second chamber or second chamber with very weak powers, 1=weak bicameralism, 2=strong bicameralism), (5) frequent referenda=1.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Source: Huber/Ragin/Stephens (1993), Schmidt (1996).

integr

Integrated economy. Siaroff Index (1999). '5' indicating greatest integration, '1' least integrated economies. The Siaroff Index can be considered as a proxy for corporatism.

Period covered: 1970, 1980, 1990 and 1995.

Source: Siaroff (1999).

fed Federalism. Coded 0 = no, 1 = weak, 2 = strong.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Greece 1967-73, Portugal until 1975 and Spain until 1976.

Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

#### Note:

1. Spain is classified as a strong federal state, although formally it is a unitarian state.

pres Presidential system. Coded 0 = parliamentary, 1 = president or collegial executive.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable fed.

Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

#### Note:

1. Finland is classified as a parliamentary system since 2000. In this regard we depart from the classification by Huber et al. (2004).

singmemd Electoral system: single member districts or proportional representation. Coded 0 = proportional representation, 1 = modified proportional representation, 2 = single-member, simple plurality systems.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable fed.

Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

strbic Strength of bicameralism. Coded 0 = no second chamber or second chamber with very weak powers, 1 = weak bicameralism, 2 = strong bicameralism.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable fed.

<u>Source</u>: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004). 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

referen Referendum. Coded 0 = none or infrequent, 1 = frequent.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable fed.

Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

judrev Judicial review. Coded 0 = no, 1 = yes.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable fed.

Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

#### Note:

1. *Belgium*: The Court of Arbitration was inaugurated in October 1984; therefore we classified it as "1" from 1985 onwards. In this regard we depart from the classification by Huber et al. (2004).

## 7. Openness of the economy

kaopen

Index for the degree of openness in capital account transactions. The index incorporates a variable indicating the presence of multiple exchange rates, a second variable indicating restrictions on current account transactions and a third variable indicating the requirement of the surrender of export proceeds. The higher the value, the more open a country is to cross-border capital transactions. Period covered: 1970-2011.

Missing: Luxembourg: entire period; Netherlands: 1975-1980 and Switzerland: 1970-1995.

<u>Source</u>: Chinn and Ito (2008); http://web.pdx.edu/~ito/Chinn-Ito\_website.htm (Downloaded: 2013-05-07)

openc

Openness of the economy, measured as total trade (sum of import and export) as a percentage of GDP, in current prices.

Period covered: 1960-2010. Missing: Germany: 1960-69.

<u>Source</u>: Heston, Alan, Robert Summers and Bettina Aten (2012), Penn World Table Version 7.1, <a href="http://pwt.econ.upenn.edu/php\_site/pwt\_index.php">http://pwt.econ.upenn.edu/php\_site/pwt\_index.php</a> (Downloaded: 2013-10-09).

#### 8. Macroeconomic data

outlays

Total outlays (disbursements) of general government as a percentage of GDP. Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia and Norway 1960/61; Belgium, Canada, Iceland and UK 1960-69; Denmark: 1960-70; France: 1960-62; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-1989; Netherlands: 1960-68; New Zealand: 1960-85; Portugal: 1960-76; Spain: 1960-63.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012): "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en">http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en</a> (Downloaded: 2013-05-07).

#### Notes:

- 1. Disbursements are transactions of providing financial resources. The two counterparties must record the transaction simultaneously.
- Sweden: From 2000 on, the Church of Sweden has been separated from the state. In terms of national accounts, this causes a reclassification of the Church's activities from the public to the private sector. As a consequence, growth in private consumption in 2000 is higher than it would otherwise have been, while conversely, growth in public consumption is lower. (Source: OECD (2010),"OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", Sources and Methods).
- 3. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).
- Australia 1962-88, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).

5. *Iceland 1970-79* and *France 1963-77*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), *http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en* (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

### receipts

Total receipts of general government as a percentage of GDP. Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missings: Belgium, Canada, Iceland and UK: 1960-69, Denmark: 1960-70, France: 1960-62, Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89, Netherlands 1960-68, New Zealand 1960-85, Norway: 1960/61, Portugal 1960-76, Spain 1960-63, Source: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

#### Notes:

- Germany 1960-90: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).
- 2. Australia 1962-88, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013 -08 -08).
- 3. *Iceland 1970-79* and *France 1963-77*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), *http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en* (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 4. Sweden: See variable outlays.
- Australia 1960/1961: Data is taken from OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 91", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00606-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

#### realgdpgr

Growth of real GDP, percent change from previous year.

Period covered: 1961-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en">http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en</a> (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

#### Notes:

- 1. Real GDP refers to the volume of Gross Domestic Product, at constant prices (variable "GDPV" in the OECD Economic Outlook databases).
- 2. Germany 1961-91: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2012-08-24).
- 3. Greece 1961-92: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 4. Denmark 1961-66: Data is taken from OECD (2004), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 75", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00091-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Switzerland 1961-65: Data is taken from SECO, State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (2013), "Historical Time Series", available at http://www.seco.admin.ch/suchen/index.html?keywords=SFSO&go\_search=Suchen&l ang=de&site\_mode=intern&nsb\_mode=yes&search\_mode=AND#volltextsuche (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).
- 6. Data from previous releases of OECD Economic Outlook databases may be of limited comparability.

nomgdpgr Growth of nominal GDP, percent change from previous year. Period covered: 1961-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en">http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en</a> (Downloaded: 2013-05-28).

#### Notes:

- 1. Nominal GDP refers to the value of Gross Domestic Product, at current market prices (variable "GDP" in the OECD Economic Outlook databases).
- Germany 1961-91: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 3. *Greece 1961-95* and *Ireland 1961-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). *http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en* (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 4. Denmark 1961-66 and Switzerland 1961-65: Data is taken from OECD (2004), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 75", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00091-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 5. Data from previous releases of OECD Economic Outlook databases (Notes 2-4) may be of limited comparability.

### inflation

Growth of consumer price index (CPI), all items, percent change from previous year; used as a measure for inflation.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Denmark, Ireland and Netherlands: 1960.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2013), "Main Economic Indicators - complete database", Main Economic Indicators (database). *http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00052-en* (Downloaded: 2013-05-28).

#### Notes:

- Iceland 1968-75: Data is taken from OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 91", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00606-en (Downloaded: 2012-08-08).
- Ireland 1961-75: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 3. Denmark 1961-66: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 86", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00370-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 4. UK 1960-87: Estimated values.

### debt

Gross government debt (financial liabilities) as a percentage of GDP. Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 1960-88; Austria, Japan, Norway, Portugal and Sweden: 1960-69; Belgium and France: 1960-68; Canada: 1960; Denmark and Iceland: 1960-79; Ireland: 1960-73; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89; New Zealand: 1960-92; Spain: 1960-75; UK: 1960-65.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

#### Notes:

- Canada 1961-69 and UK 1966-69: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Finland 1960-74: Data is taken from OECD (1999), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 65", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00101-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

- 3. *Germany 1960-91*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Greece 1960-94, Ireland 1974-97 and Luxembourg 1990-97:
   Data is taken from OECD (2005), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 78", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00088-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Iceland 1980-97: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 6. Portugal 1970-94 and Spain 1976-79: Data is taken from OECD (2001), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 70", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00096-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 7. Please consider that there may be breaks in series. Data from previous releases of OECD Economic Outlook databases (Notes 1-6) may be of limited comparability.

deficit Annual deficit (government primary balance) as a percentage of GDP. Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Belgium, Iceland and UK: 1960-69; Canada: 1960; Denmark: 1960-70; France: 1960-62; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89; Netherlands: 1960-68; New Zealand 1960-86; Portugal: 1960-76; Spain: 1960-63.

Source: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92",

OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database),

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

#### Notes:

- 1. Australia 1960-88 and France 1963-77: Data is taken from OECD (2009), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 85", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00367-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Canada 1961-69, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 3. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- Iceland 1970-79: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

# adjustdef Cyclically adjusted annual deficit (government primary balance) as a percentage of potential GDP.

Period covered: 1970-2011.

en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

Missing: Australia, Belgium, Japan, Netherlands: 1970; Denmark, Sweden: 1970-1972; Finland: 1970-1976; France: 1970-1977; Greece: 1970-1975; Iceland, Ireland Norway: 1970-79; Luxembourg, Switzerland: 1970-1989; New Zealand: 1970-1985; Portugal: 1970-1980; Spain: 1970-78; UK: 1970/71.

Source: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-

#### Notes:

 Belgium and Netherlands 1971-85, Denmark and Sweden 1973-85, Finland 1977-85, France 1978-85, Germany 1970-91, Iceland and Norway 1980, Italy and US 1970-85, Japan 1971-85, Luxembourg 1990-95, Portugal 1981-85, Spain 1979-85, UK 1972-85: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

2. Australia 1971-87, Austria 1970-85, Greece 1976-94, Ireland 1980-89, New Zealand 1986: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

interest

Long-term interest rate on government bonds.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 1960-68; Austria: 1960-64; Finland and Norway: 1960; Greece: 1960-94; Iceland: 1960-91; Ireland: 1960-70; Japan: 1960-65; Luxem-

bourg: 1960-92; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal and Spain: 1960-65.

Source: OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

#### Notes:

- 1. Long-term (in most cases 10 year) government bonds are the instrument whose yield is used as the representative 'interest rate' for this area. Generally, the yield is calculated at the pre-tax level and before deductions for brokerage costs and commissions and is derived from the relationship between the present market value of the bond and that at maturity, also taking into account interest payments paid through to maturity (see OECD, Main Economic Indicators: Sources and Definitions).
- 2. Germany 1960-90: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 3. Ireland 1971-89: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

### 9. Labour force data

ttl labf Total labour force, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Belgium and Switzerland: 2010/11; Greece, Iceland, Italy, Japan, Neth-

erlands and Sweden: 2011.

Source: OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Em-

ployment and Labour Market Statistics (database),

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

### Notes:

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.

2. Belgium 1999-2009: Estimated values.

3. Greece 1977-2010, Iceland 1964-2010, Japan 1960-2010, Sweden 1963-2010, Switzerland 1960-2009: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).

civ\_labf Civilian labour force, in thousands.

> Period covered: 1960-2011. Source: see variable ttl\_labf.

Civilian employment, in thousands. emp\_civ

> Period covered: 1960-2011. Source: see variable ttl labf.

labfopar Total labour force as a percentage of population 15-64 (participation rate).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: see variable empratio (except Norway 1971). Additional missings: Belgium 2008-11; Switzerland 2010/11; Greece, Iceland, Italy, Japan, Netherlands and Sweden: 2011.

Source: see variable empratio.

#### Notes:

- 1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
- 2. Belgium 2000-2007: Data is taken from OECD (2009), "Belgium", in: OECD, Labour Force Statistics 2009, OECD Publishing, http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/lfs-2009-22-en-fr (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- 3. Greece 1977-2008, Iceland 1964-2010, Japan 1960-2010, Sweden 1963-2010, Switzerland 1960-2010: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).

empratio Civilian employment as percentage of population 15-64.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia and Iceland: 1960-63; Austria: 1960-67; Denmark: 1961-64, 1966/68; Greece: 1960, 1962-70, 1972-76; Italy: 1972-76; Netherlands: 1960-74;

Norway: 1971; Sweden: 1960-62.

Source: OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Population and labour force",

OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database),

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00288-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

#### Note:

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.

emp\_ag Civilian employment in agriculture, in thousands.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable ttl\_labf. Source: see variable ttl\_labf.

emp\_ind Civilian employment in industry, in thousands.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable ttl\_labf. <u>Source</u>: see variable ttl\_labf.

#### Notes:

- 1. France 1989-2009: Estimated values.
- 2. Germany 2008: Value is taken from a previous release of OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (Downloaded: 2011-01-31).

emp\_serv Civilian employment in services, in thousands.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2011. <u>Missing</u>: see variable ttl\_labf. <u>Source</u>: see variable ttl\_labf.

### Notes:

- 1. France 1989-2009: Estimated values.
- 2. *Germany 2008*: Value is taken from a previous release of OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (Downloaded: 2011-01-31).

### emp\_un Unemployed, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2011. Missing: Iceland 1960. Source: see variable ttl\_labf.

unemp Unemployment rate as a percentage of civilian labour force.

Period covered: 1960-2011. Missing: Iceland 1960.

Source: OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables",

OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database),

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en (Downloaded: 2013-05-28).

st unemp Standardised unemployment rates (series adjusted for seasonal variations).

Period covered: Early 1980s onwards or earlier until 2011; exceptions: Austria

(1993) and Iceland (1991) begin later.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2013), "Key short-term indicators", Main Economic Indicators (database). *http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00039-en* (Downloaded: 2013-05-28).

#### Notes:

- 1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
- 2. *Germany 1978-90*: Data is taken from Main Economic Indicators, Vol. 2008, release 01 (Downloaded: 2008-01-15).
- 3. Belgium, Netherlands and Sweden 1970-81, Finland 1964-86, France 1978, 1980/81, Italy 1980/81, Norway 1972-87, Spain 1978-85 and UK 1971-81: Estimated values.
- Denmark 1982, Finland 1964-87, France 1978-82, Greece 1983-98, Iceland 1991-2002, Ireland 1982, Italy 1979-82, Luxembourg 1982, Netherlands 1970-82, Norway 1972-88, Spain 1978-86, Swenden 1970-82, Switzerland 1975-2009, UK 1971-82: Data taken from OECD (2010), "Key short-term indicators", Main Economic Indicators (database). http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00039-en (Downloaded: 2011-11-07).

### 10. Industrial disputes and trade unions

nld Number of industrial disputes (strikes and lockouts).

Period covered: 1960-2008.

Missing: see variable wi, except Portugal 1978, Denmark 2008. In addition: Aus-

tria 1960-78 and 2003/04; Germany: entire period.

Source: until 2000: Huber et al. (2004); 2001 onwards and for Greece, Iceland,

Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain entire period: ILO Laborsta Internet,

http://laborsta.ilo.org/ (Downloaded: 2009-10-19).

wi Workers involved in labour disputes, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2008.

Missing: Belgium: 1981-84, 1986/87, 2001-08; Denmark: 2008; France: 1968, 2002-08; Greece: 1960-75, 1999-2008; Iceland: 1960-68, 2005-08; Japan, New Zealand: 2008; Luxembourg: 1960-81, 1994-2005, 2007/08; Portugal: 1960-76,

1978, 2008; Spain: 1960-70. Source: see variable nld.

wdlost Working-days lost (due to strikes and lockouts), in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2008.

Missing: see variable wi, except Belgium 2001-07. In addition: Portugal: 1981.

Source: see variable nld.

strike Index of strike activity, working days lost per 1000 workers; calculation:

(wdlost/emp\_civ)\*1000.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1960-2008.

<u>Missing</u>: see variable wdlost.

<u>Source</u>: own calculations.

### Note concerning nld, wi, wdlost and strike:

1. *USA 1977 onwards*: Excluding work stoppages involving fewer than 1,000 workers. For additional notes on minor variation in comparability and breaks in series, see data viewer on the ILO Laborsta Homepage.

grossu Total

Total reported union members, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 1997-2011; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 1978/79, 1981/82, 1984, 1986-89, 1991, 1993/94, 1996/97, 1999/2000, 2002/03, 2009/10; Iceland: 1960-78, 1980-90, 2009-11; Japan: 1991-94, 1996-99, 2001-03; Luxembourg: 1960-69; 1971, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002, 2004/05, 2007, 2009-11; Netherlands: 2004; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 1980-83, 1985, 1987-89, 1991-94, 1996, 1998-2001, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011; Spain: 1960-96, 1971-76, 2011.

<u>Source</u>: Visser (2013), ICTWSS: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2012.

#### Notes:

- 1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).
- 2. Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010: data taken from Visser (2011).

netu

Net union membership (gross minus independent workers, students, unemployed or retired members).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 2010/11; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011, France: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 2009/10; Iceland:1960-78, 2009-11; Luxembourg: 1960-69, 2009-11; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 2011; Spain: 1960-78, 2011

Spain: 1960-76, 2011. Source: Visser (2013).

#### Notes

- 1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013)
- 2. Canada 1979: estimated data.
- 3. Ireland 1996 onwards: independent unions estimated (2.5% of total).
- 4. Greece 2000 onwards: retired members estimated (9% of total; includes public sector)
- 5. *UK 1960*: without members in Irish Republic and retired members estimated (10% of gross membership).
- 6. Australia 1997-2009; Luxembourg 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002; Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010: data taken from Visser (2011).

ud

Net union membership as a proportion wage and salary earners in employment (union density).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 2010/11; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011; France: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 2009/10; Iceland: 1960-78, 2009-11, Luxembourg: 1960-69, 2009-11; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 2011;

Spain: 1960-76, 2011; Source: Visser (2013).

#### Notes:

- 1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).
- 2. Sweden 2008 and 2010: estimated data.
- 3. Australia 1997-2009; Luxembourg 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002; Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010: data taken from Visser (2011).

### adjcov

Bargaining (or union) coverage, adjusted. Visser (2013: 23f.) defines it in the following way: "[E]mployees covered by collective (wage) bargaining agreements as a proportion of all wage and salary earners in employment with the right to bargaining, expressed as percentage, adjusted for the possibility that some sectors or occupations are excluded from the right to bargain (removing such groups from the employment count before dividing the number of covered employees over the total number of dependent workers in employment)."

Period covered: 1960-2011. Missings: see Visser (2013). Source: see Visser (2013).

#### Note:

1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).

### 11. Public social expenditure and revenue data

#### Notes for socexp t pmp and onwards:

- 1. The entry '0.0' represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.
- 2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
- 3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.

### sstran

Social security transfers as a percentage of GDP. Social assistance grants and welfare benefits paid by general government (benefits for sickness, old-age, family allowances, etc.).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Canada: 2011; Greece, Portugal and Spain: 1961-67; Iceland: 1961-67 and 1969; Luxembourg: 1987-89; New Zealand: 1983-85, 2011. Source: OECD (2013), "National Accounts at a Glance: National Accounts at a Glance", OECD National Accounts Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00652-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

#### Notas:

- 1. *Until 1969* (all countries) and *New Zealand 1970-82*: Data is taken from OECD Historical Statistics, various years.
- Austria 1970-75; Belgium and Japan 1970-84; Denmark, Ireland, Switzerland and UK 1970-89; Finland 1970-74; France 1970-77; Germany 1970-90; Greece, Iceland, Norway, Portugal and Spain 1970-94; Italy 1970-79; Luxembourg 1970-86; Sweden 1970-92: Data is taken from SourceOECD, National Accounts Statistics, Historical Statistics - Structure or composition of certain economic aggregates, Vol. 2002, release 01 (Downloaded: 2006-08-21).
- 3. *Japan 1985-2004*: Data is taken from a previous release of the OECD National Accounts Statistics database (Downloaded: 2011-04-14).
- 4. Please consider that there may be breaks in series and that the data of the different sources may be of limited comparability.

### socexp\_t\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private social expenditure as a percentage of GDP.

<u>Period covered</u>: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zealand and United States: until 2010).

Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89, 2010; Iceland: 1980-89; Norway: 1981-84, 1986/87; Switzerland: 2009.

Source: OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

socexp\_c\_pmp

Public and mandatory private social expenditure in cash as a percentage of

GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zea-

land and United States: until 2010). Missing: see variable socexp t pmp. Source: see variable socexp t pmp.

socexp\_k\_pmp Public and mandatory private social expenditure in kind as a percentage of

Period covered: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zea-

land and United States: until 2010). Missing: see variable socexp t pmp. Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

oldage\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private expenditure on old age as a percentage

of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable socexp t pmp. Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

survivor\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private survivor benefits as a percentage of

GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp. Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

incapben\_pmp

Total incapacity-related benefits (public and mandatory private) as a per-

centage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp. Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

health\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private expenditure on health as a percentage

of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

<u>Missing</u>: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp, except Austria.

Source: see variable socexp t pmp.

family\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private expenditure for families as a percent-

age of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable socexp t pmp. Source: see variable socexp t pmp.

almp\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private expenditure on active labour market

programmes as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and

Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland, Italy and Japan: 1980-89.

Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

(public and mandatory private). Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Ireland: 1980-84;

Norway: 1981-84; Switzerland: 2009. Source: see variable socexp t pmp.

housing\_pmp Total public and mandatory private expenditure on housing as a percent-

age of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Austria: 1980-84, 1986-89; Belgium: 1980-1999; Iceland 1980-89; Japan and USA: entire period; Norway: 1980-84, 1986/87; Switzerland:

2009.

<u>Source</u>: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

othsocx\_pmp Public and mandatory private expenditure on other social policy areas as a

percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp. In addition: Denmark: 1980-82.

<u>Source</u>: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

## 12. Family policy

### Notes for fallow\_pmp and onwards:

1. The entry '0.0' represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.

2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.

3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.

fallow\_pmp Total public and mandatory private cash benefits for family allowances as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Norway: 1981-84,

1986/87; Switzerland: 2009.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-

00166-en (Downloaded: 2012-11-23).

mpleave\_pmp Total public and mandatory private cash benefits for maternal and parental

leave as a percentage of GDP. Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable fallow\_pmp. In addition: Australia: 1980-94; USA:

entire period.

Source: see variable fallow\_pmp.

othfam\_c\_pmp Other public and mandatory private cash benefits as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable fallow\_pmp. In addition: Belgium, Luxembourg: 1980-

89; Canada, Denmark, Switzerland, USA: entire period.

Source: see variable fallow\_pmp.

### daycare\_pmp

Total public and mandatory private social expenditure for day-care and

home-care services as a percentage of GDP (benefits in kind).

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable fallow\_pmp. In addition: Canada: 1980-97; Greece and Switzerland: 1980-89; UK: 1980-95; USA: 1980-90 and 1997.

Source: see variable fallow\_pmp.

## othfam\_k\_pmp

Other public and mandatory private benefits in kind as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: see variable fallow\_pmp. In addition: Canada: 1991-2009; Japan: 1980-93; Luxembourg: 1980-2000; Netherlands and Switzerland: entire period

Source: see variable fallow\_pmp.

#### Note:

1. Canada 1991-2009: data are included in another category.

### 13. Labour market policy

### Notes for servadmi\_pmp and onwards:

- 1. The entry '0.0' represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.
- 2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
- 3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.
- 4. For more detailed defintions, see the Appendix 5.

#### servadmi\_pmp

Public and mandatory private employment services and administration as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Greece and Norway: 1980-84, 1998-2009; Norway: 1980-84, 2007-09; Iceland and Japan: 1980-89; Italy: 1980-2003; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.

<u>Source</u>: OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en (Downloaded: 2012-11-23).

#### Note:

1. *Greece 1998-2007* and *Italy 2000-03*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD Social Expenditure Statistics database (Downloaded: 2011-04-29).

### training\_pmp

Public and mandatory private expenditure on labour market training as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland: 1980-89, 2009; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Luxembourg: 1998/99;

Switzerland: 1980-84, 2009.

<u>Source</u>: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

#### Note:

1. *Greece 1998*: See note servadmi\_pmp.

### jobrot\_pmp

Public and mandatory private expenditure on job rotation and job sharing as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, France, Iceland, Japan, New Zealand and Switzerland: entire period; Austria, Belgium, Canada, Norway and USA: 1980-84; Denmark: 1980-93; Germany, Greece and Portugal: 1980-97; Ireland: 1980-84, 1998-2009; Italy: 1980-89; Luxembourg and UK: 1998-2009; Sweden: 1980-95.

Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

## incent\_pmp

Public and mandatory private expenditure on employment incentives (recruitment and employment maintenance incentives) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark: 1980-1993; Iceland: entire period; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.

Source: see variable servadmi pmp.

#### disabled\_pmp

Public and mandatory private expenditure on supported employment and (vocational) rehabilitation of persons with a reduced working capacity as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Greece: 1980-84, 1995-97; Iceland: entire period; Italy: 1980-89; Japan: 1980-89, 2008/09; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland: 2008/09.

Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

## jobcrea\_pmp

Public and private mandatory expenditure on direct job creation (usually in the public or non-profit sector) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Iceland: entire period; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Luxembourg: 1998-2001; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.

Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

## startup\_pmp

Public and mandatory private support of unemployed persons (or closely-related groups) starting enterprises or becoming self-employed as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland: entire period; Italy: 1980-89; Japan: 1980-2001, 2008/09; Netherlands: 1998-2009; Norway: 1980-1994; Switzerland: 1980-1993, 2009.

Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

#### compen\_pmp

Public and mandatory private unemployment compensation and severance pay (in cash) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Ireland: 1980-84;

Norway 1981-84; Switzerland: 2009. Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

#### earretir\_pmp

Public and private mandatory expenditure (in cash) on early retirement for labour market reasons as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia: 1980-2002; Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Canada: 1980-92; Germany: 1980-84; Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand and USA: entire period; Norway: 1980-1993; Portugal: 1980-89,

1999-2009; Sweden: 1980, 1993-96; Switzerland: 2009.

Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.

#### emprot\_reg

Employment protection strictness provided through legislation and as a result of enforcement processes (scale of 0-6; higher values indicate stricter employment protection). This indicator measures the strictness of regulation of individual dismissal of employees on regular/indefinite contracts. Period covered: 1985-2011.

Missing: Iceland and Luxembourg: 1985-2007 and New Zealand: 1985-89. Source: OECD Indicators of Employment Protection. Annual time series data 1985-2013. http://www.oecd.org/els/emp/EPL-timeseries.xlsx (Downloaded: 2014-01-06).

#### Notes:

1. Specific requirements for collective dismissals are not included.

### emprot\_temp

Employment protection strictness provided through legislation and as a result of enforcement processes (scale of 0-6; higher values indicate stricter employment protection). This indicator measures the strictness of regulation on the use of fixed-term and temporary work agency contracts. Period covered: 1985-2011.

Missing: Iceland and Luxembourg: 1985-2007 and New Zealand: 1985-89. Source: OECD Indicators of Employment Protection. Annual time series data 1985-2013. http://www.oecd.org/els/emp/EPL-timeseries.xlsx (Downloaded: 2014-01-06).

### 14. International Labour Organization

ilo\_tot

Total number of ILO-conventions ratified (minus conventions denounced) up to the corresponding year, including conditional ratifications.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

<u>Source</u>: ILO (2011), ILOLEX Database of International Labour Standards, http://www.ilo.org/ilolex/english/newratframeE.htm (Downloaded: 2013-10-21).

### 15. Demographic data

pop Total pop

Total population, in thousands. Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: none.

Source: OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en (Downloaded: 2013-03-18)

### Notes:

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.

pop15\_64 Population 15-64, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2011. Missing: Belgium: 2011. Source: see variable pop.

pop65 Population over 65, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Belgium: 2011; Italy: 1972-76.

Source: see variable pop.

elderly Population over 65, as a percentage of population.

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Belgium: 2011; Italy: 1972-76.

Source: see variable pop.

### **Appendix**

## Appendix 1 Notes concerning the variables gov\_right, gov\_cent, gov\_left

All entries were calculated on the basis of Schmidt and Beyer (1992), Woldendorp, Keman and Budge (1998), Ismayr (2003), Keesing's Archive, European Journal of Political Research, Neue Zürcher Zeitung, People in Power (http://www.circa-uk.demon.co.uk/pip.html) and the Parline database (http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp). The classification of parties was done according to Schmidt (1996). If there was no classification by Schmidt, we classified parties using the following assignments (see Appendix 2):

- 'Left' denotes social democratic parties and political parties to the left of social democrats
- 'Right' denotes liberal and conservative parties
- **'Center'** denotes center parties, in particular Christian Democratic or Catholic parties. According to Schmidt (1996: 160), center parties favor a "moderate social amelioration in a location to the left of conservative or conservative-neoliberal parties."

### Appendix 2 Assignment of governing political parties to left, center, right

### **Australia**

- left Australian Labour Party (ALP)

- center ----

- right Liberal Party (LIB)

Country National Party (CNT)

**Austria** 

- left Socialist Party (SPÖ)

Communist Party (KPÖ)

center People's Party (ÖVP)
 right Freedom Party (FPÖ)

**Belgium** 

- left Social Progressive Alternative/SPIRIT (SP.a/SPIRIT) (until 2001: Flemish

Socialist Party)

Communist Party (KPB/PCB) Francophone Socialist Party (PS)

AGALEV ECOLO

- center Christian Democrat & Flemish (CD & V) (until 2001: Christian People's Party

CVP))

Democrat Humanist Centre (CDH) (until 2002: Christian Social Party (PSC))

Francophone Democratic Front (FDF)

New Flemish Alliance (N-VA) (former: Flemish/People's Union (VU))

Democratic Union (DU)

Wallon Rally (RW)

- right Liberal Party (LP)

Open Flemish Liberals & Democrats (Open VLD) (former: Party of Liberty and

Progress (PVV))

Reform Movement (MR) (former: Francophone Liberal Reform Party (PRL))

Canada

- left -----

- center Liberal Party (LIB)

- right Progressive Conservative Party (PC)

**Denmark** 

left Social Democrats (SD)

Left Socialist Party (LSP) Socialist People's Party (SPP) Communist Party (COM)

- center Centre Democrats (CDM)

Christian People's Party (KRF, CPP)

- right Liberals (Venstre) (LIB)

Conservative People's Party (KF)

Justice Party (JP)

Radical Party (Social Liberal Party) (RV)

**Finland** 

- left Social Democrats (SDP)

Finnish People's Democratic Union (SKDL)

Social Democratic League (TPSL)

Left-Wing Alliance (VAS) Green League (VIHR) Centre Party (KESK)

center Centre Party (KESK)

Liberal People's Party (LKP)

Christian Democrats (KD) (former Christian League, SKL)

Finnish Rural Party (SMP) National Coalition (KOK)

Swedish People's Party (SFP/RKP)

**France** 

- right

- left Socialist Party (PS)

Communist Party (PCF)

Greens

Movement for Citizens (MDC)

Generation Ecology

Left Radicals (PRG) (former Mouvement des radicaux de gauche (MRG) and

Radical Socialist Party (RSP))

- center Centre of Social Democrats (CDS), Democratic Force (FD)

Popular Republican Movement (MRP)

Centre of Progress and Modern Democracy (PDM)

Union for French Democracy (UDF)

Reformers' Movement (REF, Reformers' Movement)

Republican Party (PR)

Nouveau Centre

- right Gaullistes (GAUL)

Centre National des Indépendants (IND) Centre Democracy and Progress (CDP)

Gaullists, Rally for the Rebublic (RPR) (former Union pour la Nouvelle République (UNR) and Union des Démocrates pour la République (UDR), in 2002:

Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP))

Radical Party (RAD)

Germany

- left Social Democrats (SDP)

Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

- center Christian Democratic Union (CDU)

Christian Social Union (CSU)

- right German Party (DP)

Free Democrats (FDP)

Greece

- left Pan-Hellenic Social Movement (PASOK)

Communist Party (KKE)

- center -----

- right Political Spring (POLAN)

New Democracy (ND)

National Radical Union (ERE)

Centre Union, Union of the Democratic Centre (EDIK)

**Iceland** 

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)

People's Alliance (PA, USP) Social Democratic Alliance (SDA)

Left-Greens (LG)

- center Progressive Party (PP)

Union of Liberals and Leftists (ULL) Regional Equality Platform (REP)

- right Independence Party II (IP)

Citizens' Party (CP)

Ireland

- left Labour Party (LAB)

Democratic Left (DL) Green Party (GP)

- center Republican Party (Clann na Poblachta) (CNP)

Fine Gael (FG)

- right Party of the Land (Clann na Talmhan) (CNT)

Progressive Democrats (PD)

Fianna Fail (FF)

Italy

- left Socialist Party of Proletarian Unity (PSIU)

Communist Party (PCI)
Socialist Party (PSI)
United Socialist Party (PSU)
Social Democratic Party (PSDI)

Greens

Party of the Democratic Left (PDS)

The Democrats (DEM)

Di Pietro List

Socialists and Radicals (RnP, former Rose in the Fist)

- center Christian Democratic Party (DC)

Republican Party (PRI)
Italian Popular Party (PPI)
Union of the Centre (UDC)

Dini List - Italian Renewal (RI)

Democratic Union (UD)

Union of Republican Democrats (UDR), since 1999 known as UDEUR (Union

of Democrats for Europe)

Christian Democratic Centre, United Christian Democrats (CCD, CDU)

Christian Democracy for the Autonomies (DCpA)

- right The People of Freedom (PdL)

Liberal Party (PLI) Forza Italia (FI)

Lombard League, Northern League (LN)

National Alliance (AN)

#### Note:

17.01.95 – 17.05.96: Caretaker government (Prime Minister: Dini)

### Japan

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Japan Communist Party (JCP) Democratic Socialist Party (DSP) United Democratic Socialists (UDS)

- center Komei Party, Komeito (CGP)

(New) Sakigake Party

Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ)

- right Liberal Democratic Party (LDP)

Japan Renewal Party (JRP)

Japan New Party (JNP)

New Conservative Party (NCP)

Liberal Party (LP)

People's New Party (PNP)

#### Note:

According to its party manifesto, the Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ) is a center-left party. Since it is more to the center than to the left, we classified it as 'center' with regard to the party composition of government. However, for the 'elections' variables, we assigned this left-liberal party to the socialist party family ('social') which includes both traditional social democratic parties as well as blends of social-democratic and liberal parties.

## Luxembourg

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Communist Party (CP)

Socialist Workers' Party (LSAP)

center Christian Social Party (CSP).right Democratic Party (DP).

## The Netherlands

left Labour Party (PvdA)

Political Party of the Radicals (PPR)

- center Catholic People's Party (KVP)

Christian Democratic Appeal (CDA) Democratic Socialists' 70 (DS'70)

Democrats' 66 (D66) Christian Union

right People's Party for Freedom and Democracy (VVD)

Christian Historical Union (CHU) Anti Revolutionary Party (ARP)

List Pim Fortuyn (LPF)

#### **New Zealand**

left Labour Party (LAB)

Alliance

**Progressive Coalition** 

- center -----

- right National Party (NP)

New Zealand First. (NZF)

**United Party** 

**Norway** 

- left Labour Party (DNA, AP)

Socialist Left (SV), Socialist People's Party

- center Centre Party (SP), Farmers' Party

Christian People's Party (KRF, CPP)

- right Conservatives (Hoyre) (CON, H)

Liberals (Venstre) (LIB, V)

**Portugal** 

- left Socialist Party (PS)

Communist Party (PCP)

- center -----

- right Social Democrats, Popular Democrats (PPD/PSD)

Centre Social Democrats, Popular Party (CDS-PP)

Popular Monarchist Party (PPM)

Spain

- left Socialist Party (PSOE)

Communist Party, United Left (PCE/PSUC/IU)

- center Popular Alliance, Popular Party (AP/PP)

Union of the Democratic Centre (UCD)

- right Convergence and Unity (CiU)

Sweden

left Social Democrats (S)

Communist Party, Left Party (V)

- center Agrarian Party, Center Party (C)

Christian Democratic Union (KDS)

- right Conservatives, Moderate Unity Party (M)

People's Party (The Liberals) (FP)

**Switzerland** 

left Social Democrats (PSS/SPS)
 center Christian Democrats (PDC/CVP)
 right Radical Democrats (PRD/FDP)

Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP)

Conservative Democratic Party (BDP/PBD)

**United Kingdom** 

- left Labour Party (LAB)

- center -----

right Conservative Party (CON)

**Liberal Democrats** 

#### USA

- left -----

center Democratic Partyright Republican Party

# Appendix 3 Notes concerning votes and seats of political parties in national parliaments (lower houses in bicameral systems)

As a general rule we inlouded data on votes and seats for a party if it reaches at least 2% of votes in an election. If a party did not reach that threshold, data for this party is not included for that election (neither on votes nor on seats) and it receives a zero.

For example, the only Liberal Party in country X has 1991: 1.7% (votes), 2.0% (seats); 1994: 2.0% (votes), 1.7% (seats); 1998: 5.0% (votes), 4.5% (seats); 2000: 0.9% (votes), 0.8% (seats). The data entered for the Liberal Party are therefore:

votes:		seats:	
	liberal1		slibera1
	1991: 0		1991: 0
	1994: 2.0		1994: 1.7
	1998: 5.0		1998: 4.5
	2000: 0		2000: 0

Our classification of party families started from the work by Lane, McKay and Newton (Lane et al. 1997), which distinguishes between 11 party families. Right-populist parties are not considered a party family; rather these parties are assigned to different party families such as ethnic, agrarian or ultra-right. We added a party family ,right-populist parties and ultra right parties ("right")' which includes all the ultra-right parties according to Lane, McKay, Newton and right-populist parties according to the definition of populist radical right parties by Cas Mudde (2007: 22-3). Three features distinguish these parties from other parties: Nativism, authoritarianism and populism. Examples are given in Mudde (2007: 44) and Mudde 2013: 3).

The national parties in a given family of parties were given consecutive numbers. If, for example, there are three political parties in the socialist spectrum of the party system, they were given the names social1, social2, and social3. The share of votes and seats were entered under these variable names for each party. This structure of the data set allows for various re-classification and aggregations.

Since data on votes or on seats are not always available for all individual parties due to electoral alliances, we added three party labels for electoral party coalitions (alliance of the left, alliance of the center, and alliance of the right). The maximum number of variables is due to the maximum number of parties within the family which reached at least 2% of votes in an election in at least one country.

The variable 'others' refers to the percentage of total votes for all minor parties which received less than 2% of total votes each. The variable 'sothers' refers to the percentage of total seats in parliament for all minor parties which received less than 2% of total votes. Therefore, the variables on both votes and seats add up to 100%.

There is one major problem with these classifications.

(1) The classification is time-invariant. Some parties, however, changed their programs, goals and clienteles considerably over time. We do not adapt the classification to such

changes systematically. However, in those cases where we are aware of major changes, we re-classified the party for the period after the change. Examples are the Swiss Peoples Party (SVP) or the Austrian Freedom Party (FPÖ). Both parties had been re-classified due to their change from an agrarian (SVP) or liberal (FPÖ) to a right populist party. Based on the literature we identified the years 1995 for the SVP and the year 1986 for the FPÖ as the time point when they switched from agrarian and liberal, respectively, to right-populist.

We recommend that users of the data set carefully consider whether our classifications fit their conceptual perspective and re-classify according to their needs.

Party family	Variable						
	name						
socialist	social1	social2	social3	social4			
left-socialist	leftsoc1	leftsoc2	leftsoc3				
communist	comm1	comm2	comm3	comm4			
agrarian	agrarian						
conservative	conserv1	conserv2	conserv3	conserv4	conserv5		
religious	relig1	relig2	relig3	relig4	relig5	relig6	relig7
liberal	liberal1	liberal2	liberal3	liberal4	liberal5	liberal6	
protest	protest1	protest2	protest3	protest4			
green	green1	green2	green3				
ethnic	ethnic1	ethnic2	ethnic3	ethnic4			
right	right1	right2	right3	right4			
left alliance	leftall	•	J	•			
center alliance	centall						
right alliance	rightall						

If there is no party for a given variable, '0' is entered. For example, since the UK has no agrarian party, the variable 'agarian' has the value '0' in the case of the UK.

The share of votes are entered under the party variable name. The share of seats are entered under the party variable name, preceded by an 's' (for 'seats'). For example, in the case of Australia under 'social1' votes for the Australian Labour Party are entered; the variable 'ssocial1' denotes share of seats of the Australian Labour party.

The following list shows the identification numbers assigned to party names as found in Mackie and Rose (1991).

Assignments of political parties:

#### Australia

- social1 1) Labour Party (ALP)

- social2
- agrarian
- conserv1
21) Democratic Labour Party
7) Country Party, National Party
18) Australian Liberal Party

- conserv2 --- One Nation - conserv3 --- Family First

- liberal 27) Australian Democrats

- liberal2- green1- Australia Party- Australian Greens

### Austria

- social1 1) Socialist Party (SPÖ)

- comm1	5) Communist Party (KPÖ)
- relig1	2) People's Party (ÖVP)
- liberal1	11) Freedom Party (FPÖ) (since 1986: right2)
- liberal2	17) Liberal Forum
- protest1	12) Democratic Progressive Party
<ul><li>protest2</li></ul>	List Dr Martin – For Democracy, Control and Justice (Martin)
- green1	15) Green Alternative
- right1	11) Fredom Party (FPÖ) (until 1985: liberal1)
- right2	Alliance for the Future of Austria (BZÖ)
Belgium	
- social1	3) Socialist Party (since 1977 split into 30 and 31)
- social2	30) Social Progressive Alternative/SPIRIT (SP.a/SPIRIT) (until 2001: Flemish Socialist Party (SP))
- social3	31) Francophone Socialist Party (PS)
- comm1	10) Communist Party (KPB/PCB)
- relig1	1) Catholic Party (since 1965 split into 19 and 20)
- relig2	19) Christian Democrat & Flemish (CD & V) (until 2001: Flemish Christian
	People's Party (CVP); in 2007: alliance with N-VA)
- relig3	20) Democrat Humanist Centre (CDH) (until 2002: Francophone Christian
	Social Party (PSC))
- liberal1	2) Liberal Party (since 1971 split into 21 and 22)
- liberal2	21) Open Flemish Liberals & Democrats (Open VLD) (until 2007: Flemish Liberals & Democrats (VLD); former: Flemish Party of Liberty and Progress
- liberal3	<ul> <li>(PVV))</li> <li>22) Reform Movement (MR) (former Francophone Liberal Reform Party</li> <li>(PRL); in 1995: Alliance with FDF; in 1999: Fédération PRL-FDF-MCC) (Francophone)</li> </ul>
- liberal4	Vivant (joined the VLD in 2007)
- liberal5	5) Liberal/Socialist cartels
- liberal6	De Decker's List (LDD)
- protest1	32) ROSSEM/ROSSUM
- green1	26) ECOLO (Francophone)
- green2	27) Green! (Flemish) (former: AGALEV)
- ethnic1	8) New Flemish Alliance (N-VA) (former Flemish/People's Union (VU))
- ethnic2	14) Francophone Democratic Front (FDF)
- ethnic3	18) Walloon Rally
- right1	28) Democratic Union for the Respect of Labour (UDRT/RAD)
- right2	33) National Front (FN-NF) (Francophone)
- right3	29) Flemish Block (since 2007: Flemish Interest)
Canada	
- social1	8) CCF, New Democratic Party
- conserv1	1) (Progressive) Conservative Party
191 14	O) Library Douby

social1
conserv1
liberal1
liberal2
liberal3
protest1
green1
ethnic1
8) CCF, New Democratic Party
Conservative Party
1) (Progressive) Conservative Party
2) Liberal Party
10) Social Credit
12) Ralliement des Créditistes (belongs to 10 before 1965 and after 1968)
protest1
green1
ethnic1
8) CCF, New Democratic Party
Conservative Party in 2004)
Greens
Bloc Québécois

### **Denmark**

- social1- leftsoc14) Social Democrats (SD)16) Socialist People's Party

18) Left Socialist Party - leftsoc2 9) Communist Party (DKP) - comm1 24) Enhedslisten (EL) The Unity List - comm2 1) Conservative People's Party (KF) - conserv1 15) Independents' Party - conserv2 - relig1 19) Christian People's Party (KRF) - liberal1 5) Radical Party (Social Liberal Party) (RV) - liberal2 6) Liberals (Venstre) - liberal3 10) Justice Party (DRF) 20) Centre Democrats - liberal4 - liberal5 17) Liberal Centre - liberal6 --- New Alliance (Y) 21) Progress Party (FP) - protest1 22) Common Course - protest2 - right1 --- Danish People's Party (DF, splinter from the Progress Party, see EJPR vol. 36: 377) **Finland** 1) Social Democrats (SDP) - social1 15) Social Democratic League (belongs to 1 before 1958 and after 1972) - leftsoc1 13) Finnish People's Democratic Union (SKDL) - comm1 22) Democratic Alternative (DEVA) - comm2 23) Left-Wing Alliance (VAS) - comm3 - agrarian 4) Centre Party (KESK) - conserv1 8) National Coalition (KOK) 16) Christian Democrats (KD) (until 1999: Christian League (SKL)) - relig1 - liberal1 9) Liberal People's Party (LKP) --- Progressive Finnish Party (NUORS) - liberal2 - protest1 17) Finnish Rural Party (SMP)) (since 1995: True Finns (right1)) 20) Green League (VIHR) - green1 - ethnic1 2) Swedish People's Party (SFP/RKP) 17) True Finns (PS) (until 1994: Finnish Rural Party (SMP; protest1)) - right1 1983: Electoral Alliance of Centre Party (4) and Liberal Party (9). - centall **France** - social1 1) Socialist Party (PS) - social2 --- Other Left - leftsoc1 19) Unified Socialist Party --- Extreme/Far Left (Extrême gauche) - leftsoc2 9) Communist Party - comm1 12) Conservatives/Moderates - conserv1 14) Gaullists, Rally for the Rebublic (RPR) (in 2002: Union for a Presidential - conserv2 Majority (UMP)) 20) Republican Party (PR) - conserv3 30) Union for French Democracy (UDF) - conserv4 23) Centre Democracy and Progress (CDP, only in 1973; from 1973 on be-- conserv5 longs to 21) 13) Popular Republican Movement - relig1 - relig2 21) Democratic Centre (belongs to 30 since 1978) - relig3 26) Reformers' Movement (only in 1973 as a coalition of 2, 21 and some smaller parties) - liberal1 2) Radical Socialist Party (RSP)

25) Left Radicals (MRG) (see Hix, 1997: 37)

- green1 28) Greens

- liberal2

- green2 31) Generation Ecology

- green3 --- Other Ecologists (Autres Ecologistes)

- right1 29) National Front

- leftall 1967&1968: Electoral Alliance of Socialist Party (1) and Radical Socialist Party (2).

### Germany

- social 2) Social Democrats (SPD)

- comm1 --- Party of Democratic Socialism (PDS) (since 2005: Left Party)

- conserv1 47) All-German Party (Gesamtdeutsche Partei)

- conserv2 41) German Party (DP)

relig1 36) Christian Democratic Union (CDU)
relig2 37) Christian Social Union (CSU)
liberal1 38) Free Democrats (FDP)

- liberal2 --- Pirate Party

- green1 51) Greens/Alliance 90- ethnic1 45) Refugee Party (GB/BHE)

- right1 49) National Democratic Party (NDP)

- right2 57) Republicans

#### Note:

1990 onwards: Data for unified Germany.

#### Greece

- social 37) Pan-Hellenic Socialist Movement (PASOK)

- social2 --- Democratic Social Movement

- comm1 4) Communist Party (KKE)

- comm2 United Left [formed of the 25) United Democratic Left (EDA), the Communist party (KKE) and the Communist Party of the Interior (KKEes)]

- comm3 47) Coalition of the Radical Left (SYRIZA; former Coalition of Left and Progress)

- comm4 35) Communist Party of the Interior (KKEes)

- conserv1 29) National Radical Union (ERE)

conserv2
conserv3
conserv4
conserv5
31) Progressives (KP)
36) New Democracy (ND)
50) Political Spring (POLAN)
30) Popular Social Party (LKK)

- liberal 32) Centre Union, Union of the Democratic Centre (EDIK)

- liberal 2 1) Liberal Party (KF)

- liberal 24) Farmers' and Workers' Rally (SAE)

- green1 --- Ecologists Greens (OP)

- right1 38) National Alignment, National Front (EM)

- right2 --- Popular Orthodox Rally (LAOS)

#### Note:

No data included for the period 1967-1973 (dictatorship).

#### **Iceland**

- social 7) Social Democrats (SDP)

- social 2 22) Social Democratic Federation

- social3 --- People's Movement (PM)

- leftsoc1 36) Social Democratic Alliance (SDA), (1999: United Left)

- leftsoc2 17) National Preservation Party

- comm1 37) Left-Greens (LG), until 1999: People's Alliance (PA))

- agrarian 8) Progressive Party (PP)

- conserv1 13) Independence Party II (IP)

- liberal 20) Union of Liberals and Leftists (ULL)

protest1 24) Cititzens' Party IIprotest2 38) Liberal Party (LP)

- protest3 --- The Civic Movement (founded in 2009; the party only existed for a few

months. Due to internal conflict a new party was formed:

The Movement)

- green1 23) Women's Alliance (WA)

- green2 --- Iceland Movement

### Note:

**1999**: The People's Alliance (14), the Social Democrats (7) and the Women's Alliance (23) formed the United Left and were assigned to the category leftsoc1. A breakaway group from the People's Alliance (14) remains in the category comm1 as the Left-Green Party (EJPR 2000, vol. 38).

#### Ireland

social1leftsoc1Workers' Party

- leftsoc2 --- Democratic Left (joined Labour Party in 2002)

- leftsoc3 --- United Left Alliance (formed of the Socialist Party, People Before Profit

Alliance and Workers and Unemployed Action Group)

relig1 14) Fine Gaelliberal1 10) Fianna Fail

- liberal 215) Party of the Land (Clann na Talmhan)

- green1 24) Green Party

ethnic1
ethnic2
Sinn Féin II, Sinn Féin III from 1982 onwards
ethnic2
Progressive Democrats (dissolved in 2009)

- ethnic3 20) National H-Block Committee

#### Italy

- social 3) Socialist Party (PSI) (in 2001: New PSI)

- social2 31) United Socialist Party (PSU/only in 1968, as a coalition of 3 and 23)

- social3 23) Social Democratic Party (PSDI)

- social4
 - leftsoc1
 ---Democratic Party (PD), (former The Olive Tree and Rose in the Fist)
 30) Socialist Party of Proletarian Unity (PSIUP; belongs to 11 after 1972)

- leftsoc2 --- Party of the Democratic Left (PDS) (reformist wing of the disbanded PCI (1991))

- leftsoc3---The Left/The Rainbow (SA)- comm111) Communist Party (PCI)

- comm2 50) Communist Refoundation (RC) (left wing of the dispanded PCI (1991))

conserv1 61) Forza Italiaconserv2 20) Monarchist Party

- conserv3 27) Popular Monarchist Party

- conserv4 ---The People of Freedom (PDL), (comprised of Forza Italia and National Alliance)

- relig1 17) Christian Democratic Party (DC), (since 1994: Italian Popular Party (PPI))

- relig2 --- Segni Pact (Patto Segni)

- relig3 --- Christian Democratic Centre (CCD)/United Christian Democrats (CDU) (conservative wing of the former DC (since 1994)) (since 2006 under the name Democratic Centre Union)

- relig4 --- European Democracy (DE)

liberal1
liberal2
liberal2
liberal3
Republican Party (PRI)
Republican Party (PRI)
Radical Party (PR)

- liberal4 --- Dini List - Italian Renewal

- liberal5 --- Di Pietro List

- protest1 --- Pannella List Reformers

- green1 45) Greens (formerly Green Federation)

right1
 24) National Alliance (AN) (formerly Social Movement (MSI-DN))

- right2 42) Nothern League (formerly Lombard League)

- leftall 2001: Sunflower (Greens and Social Democrats (PSDI)

2006: The Olive Tree (Democrats of the Left (DS), Margherita – Daisy (DI)) and Rose in the Fist (Radical Party (RP) and Italian Social Democrats (SDI))

- centall 2001: La Margherita (PPI, the Democrats, Italian Renewal, UDEUR)

#### Notes:

**1994**: Introduction of a new electoral system. 474 MPs of 630 are elected on the basis of a "single-ballot first-past-the post system"; the remaining 156 seats are distributed on the basis of a "proportional formula" (EJPR 1995: 398). Data on votes refer to the (proportional) list votes, data on seats refer to the total of seats gained by the party (proportional plus plurality system). However, by the end of 2005, a party-list proportional representation system was re-introduced.

**2006**: The left alliance Olive Tree accounts for 31.3% and the minor alliance Rose in the Fist for 2.6% of the total votes. 34.9% of seats can be allocated to Olive Tree and an additional 2.9% to Rose in the Fist.

### **Japan**

- social 35) Social Democratic Party (Socialist Party) (SDP)

social2
 social3
 comm1
 Democratic Socialist Party (DSP)
 Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ)
 Japanese Communist Party (JCP)

- conserv1 43) Liberal Democratic Party (LDP)

- conserv2 48) Japan Renewal Party (Shinsei To) (JRP)

- conserv3 49) Japan New Party (JNP)

- conserv4 --- New Frontier Party (NFP) (Merger of JRP, JNP, DSP, and Komei in 1994.

In 1997, NFP broke up into Democratic Political Pary, Komeito and Liberal Party.) Liberal Party (LP) (successor of NFP after its break-up in 1997; joined PR in 2003)

DP in 2003)

- conserv5 --- (New) Sakigake Party (Splinter from LDP, 1993)

relig1 45) Komei Party, Komeito (Clean Government Party) (CGP)
liberal1 46) New Liberal Club (belongs to 43 before 1979 and after 1986)

- liberal2 --- Your Party (YP)

#### Note:

**1996**: Introduction of a new electoral system. 300 of 500 MPs (since 2000, 300 of 480) are elected in "single-seat constituencies with non-transferable single ballot and siple plurality", the remaining 200 (180, since 2000) seats are distributed according to "proportional representation" (EJPR 1995: 412). Data on votes are arithmetic means of votes in both systems. For example: LDP received 38.6% of votes in single-seats constituencies and had a share of 32.8% in the proportional system. The arithmetic mean is: (38.6\*300/500)+(32.8\*200/500)=36.28=36.3.

## Luxembourg

- social 2) Socialist Workers' Party (LSAP)

- social 2 19) Social Democratic Party (SDP)

- social3 21) Independent Socialists

- leftsoc1 --- The Left

- comm1 7) Communist Party (KPL)

relig1
liberal1
Democratic Party (PCS/CSV)
Liberal Party (DP)

<ul><li>protest1</li></ul>	18) Independent Movement (split into two factions after 1968, one belonging
	to 1 and the other to 16)
<ul><li>protest2</li></ul>	20) Enrôlés de force
- protest3	24) Alternative Democratic Reform Party (Alternativ Demokratesch Reform-
	partei, ADR) (Until 2006: Action Committee for Democracy and Pensions'
	Right (Pensions Action)
- green1	23) Green Alternative (GAP)
- green2	26) Green Left (GLEI)
- green3	28) Green Party (GLEI-GAP) (a merger of 23 and 26 in 1994)
- right1	27) Luxembourg for the Luxembourgers

## The Netherlands

THE NETHERIC	ilius
- social1	23) Labour Party (PvdA)
- social2	30) Democratic Socialists' 70 (before 1971 belonging to 23)
- leftsoc1	27) Pacifist Socialist Party
- leftsoc2	38) Socialist Party (SP)
- comm1	10) Communist Party (CPN)
- relig1	1) Anti Revolutionary Party (ARP) (in 1998: Reformed Political Federation
-	(RPF), a splinter from the ARP)
- relig2	2) Catholic People's Party (KVP)
- relig3	6) Christian Historical Union (CHU)
- relig4	32) Radical Political Party
- relig5	34) Christian Democratic Appeal (CDA) (merger of ARP, KVP, and CHU in
	1977)
- relig6	Christian Union (merger of RPF and Reformed Political Union in 2002)
- relig7	14) Political Reformed Party
- liberal1	24) People's Party for Freedom and Democracy (Liberal Party) (VVD)
- liberal2	29) Democrats 66 (D66)
- protest1	28) People's Party of the Right (formerly Farmers' Party)
- protest2	42) United Old Persons' League, General Association of Elderly People (AOV)
- green1	38) Green Left (merger of 10, 27, 32 and Evangelical People's Party in 1989)

- right1 --- Centre Democrats (CD) --- List Pim Fortuyn (LPF) - right2

- right3 --- Freedom Party/Group Wilders (PVV)

## **New Zealand**

- social1	3) Labour Party
- social2	18) New Labour Party
- social3	20) Alliance (Merger of 11, 17, 18, and two minor parties in 1993)
- comm1	12) Socialist Unity Party (between 1972 and 1984 party was a formation of the
	Communist Party)
- conserv1	9) National Party
- conserv2	United Future New Zealand
- conserv3	21) New Zealand First
- relig1	15) New Zealand Party
- relig2	16) Christian Heritage
- liberal1	11) Social Credit Party, Democratic Party
- liberal2	Association of Consumers and Taxpayers (ACT)
- green1	13) Values Party

17) Green Party (Aotearoa) (was part of Alliance (20) from 1991 until 1998) - green2 - ethnic1 --- Maori Party

1996: Electoral Alliance of Christian Heritage (16) and Christian Democrats. centall

## Note:

**1996**: Introduction of the Mixed Proportional System (MMP). Voters have two votes: one for the preferred party and one for the preferred candidate (EJPR 1997: 452). Data on votes refer to the percentages of 'party votes'.

### **Norway**

- social 4) Labour Party (DNA)

- leftsoc1 14) Socialist Left (SV), Socialist People's Party

- comm1 9) Communist Party

- agrarian 7) Centre Party (SP), Farmers' Party

conserv1 2) Conservatives (Hoyre) (H)relig1 10) Christian People's Party (KRF)

- liberal 1) Liberals (Venstre) (V)

- liberal 2 16) Liberal People's Party, New People's Party

- protest1 15) Progress Party (FRP) (formerly Anders Lange's Party)

## **Portugal**

- social1 4) Socialist Party (PS)

- leftsoc1 7) Popular Democratic Union (UDP)

- leftsoc2 --- Block of the Left (B.E.) (Merger of Popular Democratic Union (7), Socialist Revolutionary Party and Politica XXI in 1999)

- comm1 5) Democratic Movement

- comm2 2/19) Communist Party/Greens (PCP-PEV), (1987-1995 named United Democratic Coalition (CDU), until 1987 Communist Party)

relig1
 liberal1
 Centre Social Democrats, Popular Party (CDS-PP)
 Social Democrats, Popular Democrats (PPD/PSD)

- liberal 217) Democratic Renewal Party (PRD)

- green1 16) Greens

- leftall 1976, 1979, 1980: Electoral Alliance of Democratic Movement (5) and Communist Party (2).

1983, 1985: Electoral Alliance of Democratic Movement (5), Communist Party (2) and Greens (16).

- rightall 1979, 1980: Electoral Alliance of Centre Social Democrats (1), Social Democrats (3), and 8) Popular Monarchist Party.

### Note:

Data are only included for the democratic period.

#### Spain

social1 1) Socialist Party (PSOE)

- social 32) Popular Socialist Party (only in 1977; afterwards part of 1)

- comm1 2) Communist Party, United Left (PCE/PSUC/IU)

conserv1 28) Union of the Democratic Centre (UCD)conserv2 29) Popular Alliance, Popular Party (AP/PP)

conserv3 50) Democratic and Social Centre (CDS)
 liberal1 -- Progress and Democracy Union (UpyD)

ethnic1 44) Convergence and Unity (CiU)ethnic2 -- Catalonian Left Republicans (ERC)

- ethnic3 39/40) Coalition of Democratic Convergence of Catalonia and Democratic Left of Catalonia in 1977

- right1 45) National Union (formed in January 1979 by the leader of Fuerza Nueva.

The National Union also included Falange Espanola, the Alianza Nacional and other neo-fascist groups)

- centall 1982: Electoral Alliance of Popular Alliance (29) and Popular Democratic Party.

1986: Electoral Alliance of Popular Alliance (29), Popular Democratic Party and Liberal Party.

#### Note:

Data are only included for democratic period.

### Sweden

- social 5) Social Democrats (S)

comm1agrarianAgrarian Party, Left Party (V)7) Agrarian Party, Centre Party (C)

- conserv1 6) Conservatives, Moderate Unity Party (M)
- relig1 20) Christian Democratic Union (KDS)
- liberal1 18) People's Party (The Liberals) (FP)

- green1 23) Ecology/Green Party (MP)
- right1 24) New Democracy (NYD)
- right2 --- Sweden Democrats (SD)

## **Switzerland**

- social 5) Social Democrats (PSS/SPS)

- leftsoc1 18) Progressive Organisations (POCH)

- comm1 9) Communist Party (PdA)

- agrarian 6) Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP; since 1995: right4)

- conserv1 --- Conservative Democratic Party (BDP/PBD)

relig1
relig2
liberal1
liberal2
liberal3
Christian Democrats (PDC/CVP)
Protestant People's Party (EVP)
Radical Democrats (PRD/FDP)
Independents' Party (LDU)
Jiberal Party (PLS/LPS)

- green1 19) Greens (GPS)

- green2 20) Green Alliance (GBS), Alternative Greens (DACH)

- green3
--- Swiss Green Liberal Party (GLS/PVL)
- right1
14) National Action, Swiss Democrats (SD)

- right2 16) Republican Movement

- right3 22) Swiss Motorists (AP), Freedom Party

- right4 6) Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP; until 1994: agrarian)

## **United Kingdom**

social1
conserv1
liberal1
Labour Party
Conservative Party
Liberal Party

- liberal 21/22) Alliance Parties, Social Liberal Democrats, Liberal Democrats

- protest1--- UK Independence Party (UKIP)- ethnic111) Scottish National Party (SNP)

### USA

conserv1 8) Republican Partyliberal1 1) Democratic Party

### Appendix 4 Notes concerning the variables for consensus democracy

Ifirstp: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable.

This variable is a time-variant proxy for Lijphart's first dimension 'parties-executives'. The data is composed of the moving averages of 10 years of four indices:

- The number of effective parties in parliament (effpar\_leg).
- The absence of minimal winning and single-party majority cabinets (calculated from gov\_type with (1) single-party majority government and (2) minimal winning coalition coded as '0', otherwise '1').
- The proportionality of electoral systems (variable *dis\_gall* multiplied by [-1]).
- A measure for cabinet dominance, calculated by taking the average cabinet duration (see Lijphart 1999: 129-134), which we measured by the number of changes in government per year (gov\_chan).

For example, the value of effpar\_leg for 1969 in our calculations is the arithmetic average for the annual data of effpar\_leg for 1960-69, the value for 1970 is the average for the period 1961-70. etc.

These moving averages of the four indices were z-standardized and added up. The sum was again z-standardized, which yields the value of the proxy variable. For example, the data entered for 1969 is the z-standardized sum of the z-standardized moving averages for the years 1960-69.

The z-standardization was done across nations for each time point. For example, the z-scores for number of effective parliamentary parties in 2000 were calculated on the basis of the data for the 23 countries in 2000.

We deliberately did not include the index of interest group pluralism, which in our view does not measure the extent of compromise and negotiation between political elites on the level of parliaments and parties. It rather taps dimensions of corporatism and varieties of capitalism. In order to measure the fit of this proxy variable with Lijphart's original variable, we correlated the mean of Ifirstp for the years 1981 to 2010 with Ifirst in 1981 (i.e. Lijphart's first dimension measured for the years 1981 to 2010). The correlation is 0.898 (significant at p < .001).

Ifirstpi: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable institutions. Ifirstpb: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable behavior.

Lijphart has been criticized for mixing up the effects of societal cleavages (such as the number of parties) and institutions (such as electoral rules) with elite behavior such as coalition building and cabinet dominance (Ganghof 2005). Therefore we calculated separate proxy variables both for institutions (Ifirstpi) based only on the variables 'number of parties' and 'electoral disproportionality' and for behavior (Ifirstpb) based only on the variables 'cabinet dominance' (as measured in Ifirstp) and 'absence of minimal winning coalitions'. The calculation as z-scores of moving averages is the same as with regard to Ifirstp.

The arithmetic means for the period 1969 to 2011 of Ifirstp are correlated with the means of Ifirstpi 0.835 and with the means of Ifirstpb 0.804; both correlations are significant at p < .001 (n=23). The correlation between the means of Ifirstpi and Ifirstpb (1969-2011) is 0.343 and not significant at p < .05 (n=23).

# Appendix 5 Coverage and classification of OECD data for public expenditure and participants in labour market programmes

**OECD classification** (Source: http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/38/41/42116566.pdf); variable names listed in italics.

- 1. Public employment services and administration (servadmi\_pmp)
  - 1.1 Placement and related services
  - 1.2 Benefit administration
  - 1.3 Other
- 2. Training (training\_pmp)
  - 2.1 Institutional training
  - 2.2 Workplace training
  - 2.3 Alternate training
  - 2.4 Special support for apprenticeships
- 3. Job rotation and job sharing (jobrot\_pmp)
  - 3.1 Job rotation
  - 3.2 Job sharing
- 4. Employment incentives (incent\_pmp)
  - 4.1 Recruitment incentives
  - 4.2 Employment maintenance incentives
- 5. Supported employment and rehabilitation (disabled\_pmp)
  - 5.1 Supported employment
  - 5.2 Rehabilitation
- 6. Direct job creation (jobcrea\_pmp)
- 7. Start-up incentives (startup\_pmp)
- 8. Out-of-work income maintenance and support *(compen\_pmp)* 
  - 8.1 Full unemployment benefits
  - 8.1.1. Unemployment insurance
  - 8.1.2. Unemployment assistance
  - 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits
  - 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits
  - 8.4 Redundancy compensation
  - 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation
- 9. Early retirement (earretir\_pmp)
  - 9.1 Conditional
  - 9.2 Unconditional

#### Notes on the classification

The classification is restricted to measures which are "targeted". This excludes measures such as: training that is generally available to employed adults; apprenticeship programmes generally available to young adults; in-work benefits available to all employees whose earnings fall below a certain threshold; and early retirement benefits that are conditional only on age or contribution record. Examples of "targeted" programmes that are included are: programmes targeted to the registered unemployed; training for those known to be at risk of involuntary job loss; apprenticeship support for those who have been unable to find an apprenticeship through the usual channels; and special early retirement benefits created to promote the restructuring of a particular industrial sector or enterprise.

1. For OECD's publication of data with some notes by country, see the OECD Employment Outlook, Statistical Annex, (www.oecd.org/employment/outlook. A general note on scope and comparability of the data is also provided. For EU countries, individual programme data are provided in annual publications Labour Market Policy Expenditure and Participants (http://epp.eurostat.cec.eu.int) and Eurostat (2006), Labour market policy database methodology: revision of June 2006, Luxembourg: Office for the Official Publications of the European Communities.

## 1. Public employment services and administration (servadmi\_pmp)

- 1.1 Placement and related services
- 1.2 Benefit administration
- 1.3 Other

- 1.1 Placement and related services include open information services, referral to opportunities for work, training and other forms of assistance, counselling and case management of jobseekers, financial assistance with the costs of job search or mobility to take up work, and job brokerage and related services for employers if spending on these functions can be separately identified. Services provided by the main public employment service and by other publicly-financed bodies are included.
- 1.2 Benefit administration expenditure includes the budget of institutions that manage the unemployment and early retirement benefits reported in categories 8 and 9 if this spending can be separately identified.
- 1.3.Other expenditure includes the budget of institutions that provide placement and related services (if the relevant spending could not be separately reported in category 1.1 above); institutions that manage labour market programmes in categories 2 to 7 below (except for costs already included in these categories); and institutions that administer the benefits in categories 8 and 9 below (if these costs could not be separately identified in Category 1.2 above). However, if these institutions' budgets cover functions that are beyond the scope of this database (neither placement and related services nor the management of active or passive labour market programmes within the scope of categories 2 to 9), estimated spending on those functions should be excluded.

### 2. Training (training\_pmp)

- 2.1 Institutional training
- 2.2 Workplace training
- 2.3 Integrated training
- 2.4 Special support for apprenticeships
- 2.1 Institutional training refers to programmes where most of the training time (75% or more) is spent in a training institution (school/college, training centre or similar).
- 2.2 Workplace training refers to programmes where most of the training time (75% or more) is spent in the workplace.
- 2.3 Alternate training (formerly called Integrated training) refers to programmes where training time is evenly split between a training institution and the workplace.
- 2.4 Special support for apprenticeship refers to programmes providing incentives to employers to recruit apprentices from labour market policy target groups, or training allowances for particular disadvantaged groups.

Apprenticeship schemes that are generally available are considered to be part of the general education and vocational training system and should be excluded.

## 3. Job rotation and job sharing (jobrot\_pmp)

- 3.1 Job rotation
- 3.2 Job sharing
- 3.1 Job rotation refers to schemes promoting the full substitution of an employee by an unemployed person or a person from another target group for a fixed period.
- 3.2 Job sharing refers to schemes promoting the partial substitution of an employee by an unemployed person or a person from another target group.

## 4. Employment incentives (incent\_pmp)

- 4.1 Recruitment incentives
- 4.2 Employment maintenance incentives
- 4.1 Recruitment incentives are programmes making payments for a limited period only to facilitate the recruitment of unemployed persons and other target groups into jobs where the majority of the labour costs are covered by the employer. They include payments to individuals that are conditional upon the takeup of a new job (back-to-work bonus, mobility/relocation allowance or similar) only if they are targeted (e.g. restricted to the long-term unemployed).

4.2 Employment maintenance incentives are similar but facilitate continuing employment, in a situation of restructuring or similar. Generally available in-work benefits for low-income groups should not be included.

## 5. Supported employment and rehabilitation (disabled\_pmp)

- 5.1 Supported employment
- 5.2 Rehabilitation
- 5.1 Supported employment consists of subsidies for the productive employment of persons with a permanently (or long-term) reduced capacity to work. These measures typically provide ongoing support and have no planned duration. However, lifetime sheltered work provisions are normally considered as part of social policy and are thus beyond the scope of the database. This subcategory combines and largely replaces the former subcategories 5.1 Regular employment and 5.2 Sheltered employment, but recruitment incentives payable for a fixed period to the employer or the disabled worker upon hiring in a regular job should now appear in category 4.1.
- 5.2 Rehabilitation refers to vocational rehabilitation for persons with a reduced working capacity which prepares them to move on to work or regular training. Social and medical rehabilitation are not included. This subcategory largely replaces the former subcategory 5.3 Other rehabilitation and training; participation by disabled workers in regular training (distinct from rehabilitation) should now appear in category 2.

### 6. Direct job creation (jobcrea\_pmp)

These programmes create additional jobs (usually to benefit a the community/society and are generally in the public or non-profit sector, although similar projects in the private sector may also be eligible) for the long-term unemployed or persons otherwise difficult to place. The majority of the labour costs are normally covered by the public financing. Provisions for lifetime sheltered work in a non-productive environment should not be included. The former subcategories 6.1 Permanent and 6.2 Temporary (which were largely redundant since few countries reported permanent direct job creation) are no longer included.

#### 7. Start-up incentives (startup pmp)

Programmes that promote entrepreneurship by encouraging the unemployed and target groups to start their own business or to become self-employed.

## 8. Out-of-work income maintenance and support (compen\_pmp)

- 8.1 Full unemployment benefits
- 8.1.1. Unemployment insurance
- 8.1.2. Unemployment assistance
- 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits
- 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits
- 8.4 Redundancy compensation
- 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation
- 8.1.1 Unemployment insurance refers to benefits payable to workers satisfying criteria for membership in an unemployment insurance scheme. These are often paid only for a limited period.
- 8.1.2 Unemployment assistance refers to benefits payable to workers either failing to satisfy criteria for membership in an unemployment insurance scheme or who have exceeded the period for entitlement to unemployment insurance benefit. Unemployment assistance is normally means tested
- 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits refer to benefits compensating for the loss of wage or salary due to short-time working arrangements and/or intermittent work schedules where the employ-er/employee relationship continues.
- 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits refer to benefits paid to persons working part-time who have lost a full-time job or an additional part-time one and are seeking to work more hours.

- 8.4 Redundancy compensation refer to capital sums paid from public funds to employees who have been dismissed through no fault of their own by an enterprise that is ceasing or cutting down its activities.
- 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation refers to capital sums paid from public funds to employees to compensate for wages not paid by the employer due to bankruptcy/insolvency.

### 9. Early retirement (earretir\_pmp)

- 9.1 Conditional
- 9.2 Unconditional

These programmes facilitate the full or partial early retirement of older workers who are assumed to have little chance of finding a job.

- 9.1 Conditional programmes oblige the employer to replace the retiree with an unemployed person or a person from another target group.
- 9.2 Unconditional programmes carry no obligation for the employer to replace the retiree, but must be targeted to cases of unemployment or job reduction caused by economic events such as the restructuring of an industrial sector or of a business enterprise. Programmes that are conditional only on age or contribution record should not be included.

#### Literature

- Beyeler, Michelle. 2007. The Paths to Price Stability. An International Comparison. Bern: Haupt.
- Cameron, David R. 1985. Does Government Cause Inflation? Taxes, Spending and Deficits. In *The Politics of Inflation and Economic Stagnation*, eds. Leon N. Lindberg and Charles S. Maier, 224-279. Washington: Brookings.
- Chinn, Menzie D. and Hiro Ito. 2008. A New Measure of Financial Openness. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis* 10(3): 309-322.
- Colomer, Josep M. 1995. Introduccion. In *La politica en Europa*, ed. Josep M. Colomer, 1-26. Barcelona: Ariel.
- Ebbinghaus, Bernhard and Jelle Visser. 2000. *Trade Unions in Western Europe since 1945* (*The Societies of Europe*). New York, Basingstoke, Oxford: Grove's Dictionaries, Macmillan.
- EJPR, European Journal of Political Research. Political Data Yearbook, various issues.
- Gallagher, Michael. 1991. Proportionality, Disproportionality and Electoral Systems. *Electoral Studies* 10: 33-51.
- Ganghof, Steffen. 2005. Normative Modelle, institutionelle Typen und beobachtbare Verhaltensmuster: Ein Vorschlag zum Vergleich parlamentarischer Demokratien. *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 46(3): 406-431.
- Heston, Alan, Robert Summers and Bettina Aten. 2012. *Penn World Table Version 7.1*. Center for International Comparisons of Production, Income and Prices at the University of Pennsylvania.
- Hix, Simon and Christopher Lord. 1997. *Politcal Parties in the European Union*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin and John D. Stephens. 1993. Social Democracy, Christian Democracy, Constitutional Structure, and the Welfare State. *American Journal of Sociology* 99: 711-749.
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin and John D. Stephens. 1997. *Comparative Welfare States Data Set.* Northwestern University and University of North Carolina: http://www.lisproject.org/publications/welfaredata/welfareaccess.htm (Downloaded: 2012-10-31).
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin, John D. Stephens, David Brady and Jason Beckfield. 2004. *Comparative Welfare States Data Set.* Northwestern University, University of North Carolina, Duke University and Indiana University.
- ILO. The Cost of Social Security. International Labour Office, Geneva, various years.
- ILO. Yearbook of Labour Statistics. International Labour Office, Geneva, various years
- ILOLEX. ILOLEX Database of International Labour Standards. International Labour Office, Geneva
- IMF, International Monetary Fund. The Annual Report of Exchange Restrictions, various issues.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. 1995. Women in Parliaments 1945-95. A World Statistical Survey. Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. 1997. *Men and Women in Politics. Democracy Still in the Making. A World Comparative Study.* Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union.
- Ismayr, Wolfgang. 2003. *Die politischen Systeme Westeuropas*, 3. Auflage. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.
- Laakso, Markku and Rein Taagepera. 1979. Effective number of Parties: A measure with Application to West Europe. *Comparative Political Studies* 12(1): 3-27.
- Lane, Jan-Erik, David McKay and Kenneth Newton. 1997. *Political Data Handbook: OECD Countries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Lijphart, Arend. 1984. Democracies. Patterns of Majoritarian and Consensus Government in Twenty-One Countries. New Haven/London: Yale University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend. 1994. Democracies: Forms, performance, and constitutional engineering. *European Journal of Political Research* 25: 1-17.
- Lijphart, Arend. 1999. Patterns of Democracy: Government Form and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend. 2012. Patterns of Democracy: Government Form and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries. Second Edition. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Mackie, Thomas T. and Richard Rose. 1991. *The International Almanac of Electoral History*. London, Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Mudde, C. 2007. *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudde, C. 2013. Three decades of populist radical right parties in Western Europe: So what? *European Journal of Political Research* 52: 1-19.
- OECD. Economic Outlook. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Employment and Labour Market Statistics. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Factbook. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Historical Statistics. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Indicators of Employment Protection. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Main Economic Indicators. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. National Accounts Statistics. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. Social Expenditure Database (SOCX). Paris: OECD, various years.
- Rae, Douglas W. 1968. A note on the Fractionalization of Some European Party Systems. *Comparative Political Studies* 1: 413-418.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1992. Regierungen: Parteipolitische Zusammensetzung. In *Lexikon der Politik, Band 3: Die westlichen Länder*, ed. Manfred G. Schmidt, 393-400. München: C.H. Beck.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1995. Demokratietheorien. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1996. When Parties Matter: A Review of the Possibilities and Limits of Partisan Influence on Public Policy. *European Journal of Political Research* 30: 155-183.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. and Jürgen Beyer. 1992. *Datensammlung zur parteipolitischen Zusammensetzung von Regierungen*. Heidelberg: unpublished manuscript.
- Siaroff, Alan. 1999. Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: Meaning and Measurement. *European Journal of Political Research* 36(2): 175-205.
- Visser, Jelle. 1996. *Unionisation Trends. The OECD Countries Union Membership File*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam, Centre for Research of European Societies and Labour Relations CESAR.
- Visser, Jelle. 2011. THE ICTWSS Database: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2010, Version 3.0. Amsterdam: Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies AIAS.
- Visser, Jelle. 2013. ICTWSS: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2012, Version 4. Amsterdam: Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies AIAS.
- Woldendorp, Jaap, Hans Keman and Ian Budge. 1998. Party government in 20 Democracies: an update (1990-1995). *European Journal of Political Research* 33: 125-164.
- Woldendorp, Jaap, Hans Keman and Ian Budge. 2000. *Party Government in 48 Democracies (1945-1998). Composition Duration Personnel.* London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Woldendorp, Jaap, Hans Keman and Ian Budge. 2011. Party Government in 40 Democracies 1945-2008. Composition-Duration-Personnel.