

# Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

## Codebook:

### COMPARATIVE POLITICAL DATA SET I 1960-2012

**Klaus Armingeon, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner and Sarah Engler**

The Comparative Political Data Set 1960-2012 is a collection of political and institutional data which have been assembled in the context of the research projects “Die Handlungsspielräume des Nationalstaates” and “Critical junctures. An international comparison” directed by Klaus Armingeon and funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. This data set consists of (mostly) annual data for 23 democratic countries for the period of 1960 to 2012. In the cases of Greece, Spain and Portugal, political data were collected only for the democratic periods<sup>1</sup>. The data set is suited for cross national, longitudinal and pooled time series analyses.

The data set contains some additional demographic, socio- and economic variables. However, these variables are not the major concern of the project and are thus limited in scope. For more in-depth sources of these data, see the online databases of the OECD. For trade union membership, excellent data for European trade unions are available on CD from the Data Handbook by Bernhard Ebbinghaus and Jelle Visser (2000).

A few variables have been copied from a data set collected by Evelyne Huber, Charles Ragin, John D. Stephens, David Brady and Jason Beckfield (2004). We are grateful for the permission to include these data.

When using data from this data set, please quote both the data set and, where appropriate, the original source. Please quote this data set as:

Klaus Armingeon, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner and Sarah Engler. 2014. *Comparative Political Data Set I 1960-2012*. Bern: Institute of Political Science, University of Berne.

Last updated: 2014-09-30

---

<sup>1</sup> Data for Greece are missing during the period 1967-1973; data for Portugal are missing until 1975; and for Spain until 1976.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**CONTENTS**

1. General variables .....	2
2. Governments .....	3
3. Elections .....	7
4. Women in parliaments .....	8
5. Party system .....	8
6. Institutions .....	9
7. Openness of the economy .....	12
8. Macroeconomic data .....	12
9. Labour force data .....	16
10. Industrial disputes and trade unions .....	18
11. Public social expenditure and revenue data .....	20
12. Family policy .....	23
13. Labour market policy .....	23
14. Income inequality .....	26
15. International Labour Organization .....	26
16. Demographic data .....	27
Appendix .....	28
Literature .....	48

**VARIABLE LIST****1. General variables**

year	Year of observation
country	Country names
countryn	Country code: 1 Australia, 2 Austria, 3 Belgium, 4 Canada, 5 Denmark, 6 Finland, 7 France, 8 Germany, 9 Greece, 10 Iceland, 11 Ireland, 12 Italy, 13 Japan, 14 Luxembourg, 15 Netherlands, 16 New Zealand, 17 Norway, 18 Portugal, 19 Spain, 20 Sweden, 21 Switzerland, 22 United Kingdom, 23 USA.
isocode	ISO 3166-1 numeric code (numeric-3). ISO code by country: 036 Australia, 040 Austria, 056 Belgium, 124 Canada, 208 Denmark, 246 Finland, 250 France, 276 Germany, 300 Greece, 352 Iceland, 372 Ireland, 380 Italy, 393 Japan, 442 Luxembourg, 528 Netherlands, 554 New Zealand, 578 Norway, 620 Portugal, 724 Spain, 752 Sweden, 756 Switzerland, 826 United Kingdom, 840 USA. <u>Source:</u> International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Switzerland: Geneva.

**Note:**

1. *Germany*: Data up to the end of 1990 are for the Federal Republic of Germany before reunification only (West Germany); unless otherwise indicated, data cover all of Germany from 1991 onwards.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

### 2. Governments

gov\_right1 Government composition: cabinet posts of right-wing parties in percentage of total cabinet posts. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Italy 2012 (full technocratic government).  
Source: Own calculations primarily based on Schmidt and Beyer (1992); from 1991 on from the political data published in the European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues). For details see Appendix 1.

gov\_cent1 Government composition: cabinet posts of center parties in percentage of total cabinet posts. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable gov\_right1.  
Source: See variable gov\_right1.

gov\_left1 Government composition: cabinet posts of social democratic and other left parties in percentage of total cabinet posts. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable gov\_right1.  
Source: See variable gov\_right1.

#### Notes:

1. Due to independents, the calculations of 'gov\_right', 'gov\_cent' and 'gov\_left' do not always add up to 100 percent.
2. *Belgium 2010/11, Finland 1963/64, Greece 1961/63/64/66/67/74/89/90, 2012, Italy 1995/96, 2011 and Portugal 1978/79/80* do not add up to 100 percent mainly because of the nonpartisan caretaker respectively technocratic governments which were in office from 13.06.2010 until 06.12.2011 in Belgium, from 18.12.1963 until 12.09.1964 in Finland, various governments between 21.09.1961 and 11.04.1990 and from 16.05.2012 until 20.06.2013 in Greece, from 17.01.1995 until 17.05.1996, from 18.11.2011 onwards in Italy and from 29.08.1978 until 02.01.1980 in Portugal.
3. Some calculations do not start on the 1<sup>st</sup> January in a given year due to previous undemocratic governments. Starting date of calculations: *Greece 26.07.1974, Portugal 23.07.1976 and Spain 05.07.1977.*

gov\_party Cabinet composition (Schmidt-Index): (1) hegemony of right-wing (and centre) parties (gov\_left1=0), (2) dominance of right-wing (and centre) parties (gov\_left1<33.3), (3) balance of power between left and right (33.3<gov\_left1<66.6), (4) dominance of social-democratic and other left parties (gov\_left1>66.6), (5) hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties (gov\_left=100).  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Italy 2012 (full technocratic government).  
Source: Own calculations according to Schmidt (1992).

#### Notes:

1. *Italy 1996:* Because of many non-partisans in government this case is coded as a stand-off between left and right (3) even though the share of left parties in government is less than 33%.
2. Where the sum of 'gov\_left', 'gov\_cent' and 'gov\_right' is not equal to 100 percent due to independents, the boundaries for the three groups were recalculated for the codes (2), (3) and (4) by taking the sum of the given entries as 100 percent.  
 For example *Portugal 2005:*  
 $gov\_right + gov\_cent + gov\_left = 61.12$ .  
 The total of 61.12 percent is the basis for the calculation of the new boundaries.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

61.12/3 = 20.37 is in this case the new boundary for the lower third, replacing 33.3 percent.  $20.37 * 2 = 40.75$  would be the new boundary for the upper third, replacing 66.6 percent. As  $gov\_left = 42.64$ , which is a higher value than the boundary for the upper third ( $=40.75$ ), a (4) was entered.

**gov\_new** New ideological composition of cabinet: (0) no change, (1) change: if cabinet ideological composition (*gov\_party*) changed from last to present year.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

**gov\_gap** 'Ideological gap' between new and old cabinets. The gap is calculated as the difference of the index value (*gov\_party*) of the outgoing and the incoming governments. For an example, see the note below.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Greece 1974 (previous government was the military junta); Italy 2012 (full technocratic government); Portugal 1976 (previous Estado Novo) and Spain 1977 (previous government of Francisco Franco).

Source: Own calculations based on *govparty*.

**Note:**

1. How to calculate *gov\_gap* (an example): The cabinet in Australia in 1996 is coded (2) for the variable '*gov\_party*' (dominance of right- and centre parties). As in 1995, the government of Australia had a hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties, coded (5) for the variable '*gov\_party*', the value for '*gov\_gap*' in 1996 would thus be (-3), calculated as the difference in the ideologies of the outgoing (2) and the incoming governments (5).

**gov\_type** Type of Government. Classification: (1) single party majority government, (2) minimal winning coalition, (3) surplus coalition, (4) single party minority government, (5) multi party minority government, (6) caretaker government, (7) technocratic government.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: 1960-1994: Woldendorp/Keman/Budge (1998, 2011); 1995 onwards (USA from 1991 onwards): own calculations based on *European Journal of Political Research* (Political Data Yearbook, various issues) according to the definitions of Woldendorp/Keman/Budge (2000: 17f.), and McDonnel/Valbruzzi (2014: 11) for the last two categories.

(1) single party majority government

= one party takes all governments seats and has a parliamentary majority [ $>50.0\%$ ]

(2) minimal winning coalition

= all participating parties are necessary to form a majority government [ $>50.0\%$ ]

(3) surplus coalition

= this comprises those coalition governments, which exceed the minimal-winning criterion [ $>50.0\%$ ]

(4) single party minority government

= the party in government does not possess a majority in Parliament [ $\leq 50.0\%$ ]

(5) multi party minority government

= the parties in government do not possess a majority in Parliament [ $\leq 50.0\%$ ]

(6) caretaker government

= governments which should simply maintain the status quo

(7) technocratic government

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

= led by technocratic prime minister, consists of a majority of technocratic ministers and is in possession of a mandate to change the status quo

### Notes:

1. The indicator refers to the type of government that was in office for the longest period each year.
2. Caretaker governments are governments which should simply maintain the status quo (Golder 2010: 4). Mostly the ministers of such governments, including the prime minister, belong to a specific party. However, in a few cases the governments consist of nonpartisan technocratic ministers. We still code these governments as caretaker governments as long as their mandate does not exceed the remit "to mind the shop".
3. Based on McDonnell and Valbruzzi (2014: 11), we define a technocratic government as a government which is led by technocratic prime minister, consists of a majority of technocratic ministers and is in possession of a mandate to change the status quo. In a few cases, only the minority of ministers are technocrats. However, as long as the first and third criterion (technocratic prime minister and mandate to change the status quo) are fulfilled, we still code these governments as technocratic. Following McDonnell and Valbruzzi (2014: 4) we classify a prime minister as a being a technocrat if „*at the time of his/her appointment to government, he/she: (1) has never held public office under the banner of a political party; (2) is not a formal member of any party; (3) is said to possess recognized non-party political expertise which is directly relevant to the role occupied in government*” (McDonnell and Valbruzzi 2014: 4/5).
4. If a single party's seat share is exactly 50% we code the government as a single party minority government. If the two governmental parties possess combined 50% of the seat share we code the government as a multi party minority government. If the government consists of three parties whereat the two biggest ones hold 50% of the seat share we code the government as a minimal winning coalition one.
5. Sister parties count as one party for the classification of the type of government. For example Germany 1960: The Adenauer VI government consisted of CDU and CSU ministers. The CDU had a seat share of 43,7 and the CSU of 10,7. If we counted the parties as two separate ones we would have a minimal winning coalition. However, due to the sister party rule we classified the government as a single party majoritarian one.
6. We classify the US government continuously as a single party majority government due to the country's presidential system.

**gov\_chan** Number of changes in government per year [termination of government due to (a) elections, (b) voluntary resignation of the Prime Minister, (c) resignation of Prime Minister due to health reasons, (d) dissension within government (break up of the coalition), (e) lack of parliamentary support, (f) intervention by the head of state, or (g) broadening of the coalition (inclusion of new parties) (Woldendorp/Keman/Budge 1998, 2011: 3-4).

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: none.

Source: see variable gov\_type.

**gov\_right2** Government composition: relative power position of right-wing parties in government based on their seat share in parliament, measured in percentage of the total parliamentary seat share of all governing parties. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Italy 2012 (full technocratic government).

Source: Own calculations primarily based on Schmidt and Beyer (1992); from 1991 on from the political data published in the European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues). For details see Appendix 1.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

gov\_cent2 Government composition: relative power position of center parties in government based on their seat share in parliament, measured in percentage of the total parliamentary seat share of all governing parties. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: See variable gov\_right2.

Source: See variable gov\_right2.

gov\_left2 Government composition: relative power position of social democratic and other left parties in government based on their seat share in parliament, measured in percentage of the total parliamentary seat share of all governing parties. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: See variable gov\_right2.

Source: See variable gov\_right2.

**Notes:**

1. Some calculations do not start on the 1st January in a given year due to previous undemocratic governments. Starting date of calculations: *Greece 26.07.1974, Portugal 23.07.1976* and *Spain 05.07.1977*.
2. Changes in the composition of the parliament are not entered until there has been a change in government.
3. For some calculations the total weight does not amount to 100 percent due to non-partisan governments being in office.

gov\_right3 Government support: parliamentary seat share of right-wing parties in government. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Italy 2012 (full technocratic government).

Source: Own calculations primarily based on Schmidt and Beyer (1992); from 1991 on from the political data published in the European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues). For details see Appendix 1.

gov\_cent3 Government support: parliamentary seat share of center parties in government. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: See variable gov\_right3.

Source: See variable gov\_right3.

gov\_left3 Government support: parliamentary seat share of social democratic and other left parties in government. Weighted by the number of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: See variable gov\_right3.

Source: See variable gov\_right3.

**Note:**

1. Some calculations do not start on the 1st January in a given year due to previous undemocratic governments. Starting date of calculations: *Greece 26.07.1974, Portugal 23.07.1976* and *Spain 05.07.1977*.

gov\_sup Total government support: seat share of all parties in government. Weighted by the numbers of days in office in a given year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: See variable gov\_right3.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

Source: See variable gov\_right3.

### 3. Elections

elect Date of election of national parliament (lower house). (If there were two elections in a year, the date of the second is given).

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: none.

Source: European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues); Mackie & Rose (1991); Keesing's Archive; Parline database (<http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp>).

**Note:**

1. *Greece 2012:* From the two elections in 2012 (06. May and 17. June), only the results of the second one on 17. June are entered.

vturn Voter turnout in election.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: European Journal of Political Research (Political Data Yearbook, various issues); Mackie & Rose (1991); Keesing's Archive; Neue Zürcher Zeitung; Parline database (<http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp>). For the 1998, 2000 and 2002 US-elections, the voter turnout was gathered from the United States Elections Project, available at [http://elections.gmu.edu/voter\\_turnout.htm](http://elections.gmu.edu/voter_turnout.htm) (Downloaded: 2007-03-28).

**As a general rule we include data on votes and seats for a party if it reached at least 2% of the vote share in an election. If it did not reach that threshold, data for this party was not entered for this election (neither on votes nor on seats); rather it received a zero (see Appendix 3).**

social1 Share of votes of the party classified as social1. Parties are classified according to Lane, McKay and Newton (1997).

Period covered: 1960-2012.

For details about the classification and the period covered for each country, see the Appendix 3.

social2 Share of votes of the party classified as social2.

**etc.**

ssocial1 Share of seats in parliament for the party classified as social1. Parties are classified according to Lane, McKay and Newton (1997).

Period covered: 1960-2012.

For details about the classification and the period covered for each country, see the Appendix 3.

ssocial2 Share of seats in parliament for the party classified as social2.

**etc.**

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**4. Women in parliaments**

womenpar Percentage of women in parliaments. Entries refer to the composition of the parliament at the end of the corresponding year.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Inter-Parliamentary Union (1995); Inter-Parliamentary Union (1997); Inter-Parliamentary Union Homepage, <http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/classif-arc.htm> (Downloaded: 2014-07-30).

**Note:**

1. In bicameral systems, data is taken for the lower house.

**5. Party system**

rae\_ele Index of *electoral* fractionalization of the party system according to the formula [F] proposed by Rae (1968).

$rae\_ele = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2$ , where  $v_i$  is the share of votes for party  $i$  and  $m$  the number of parties.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

rae\_leg Index of *legislative* fractionalization of the party system according to the formula [F] proposed by Rae (1968).

$rae\_leg = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2$ , where  $s_i$  is the share of seats for party  $i$  and  $m$  the number of parties.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

effpar\_ele Effective number of parties on the *votes* level according to the formula [N<sub>2</sub>] proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index and is calculated from this index as follows:  $effpar\_ele = 1 / (1 - rae\_ele)$

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

effpar\_leg Effective number of parties on the *seats* level according to the formula [N<sub>2</sub>] proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index and is calculated from this index as follows:  $effpar\_leg = 1 / (1 - rae\_leg)$

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

dis\_abso Index of absolute disproportionality. For example, a score of 1 means that on the *seats* level there is, on average, one (effective) party less than on the *votes* level. 'Absolute' refers to the calculation of the differences between the effective num-



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

ber of parties in elections and parliaments without taking the fractionalization of the party system into account. The more fractionalized a party system, the greater the likelihood – *ceteris paribus* – of high values of *dis\_abso*.

The index is calculated as follows:

$$dis\_abso = effpar\_ele - effpar\_leg$$

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

*dis\_rel* Index of relative disproportionality. This index is weighted by the total number of parties on the *votes* level. It is calculated as follows:

$$dis\_rel = (effpar\_ele - effpar\_leg) / effpar\_ele$$

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

*dis\_gall* Index of disproportionality according to the formula [least squares] proposed by Gallagher (1991). Calculated as follows:

$$dis\_gall = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (v_i - s_i)^2} , \text{ where } v_i \text{ is the share of } votes \text{ for party } i, s_i \text{ is the}$$

share of *seats* for party *i* and *m* the number of parties

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: Own calculations.

### 6. Institutions

The variables *lfirst* to *lbank* come from Lijphart (2012: 305-309). They concern two dimensions of consensus democracies. The data for 1960 represent the period 1945-2010; data for 1981 represent the period 1981-2010. For definition of the variables, see Lijphart (2012). The index of central bank independence (*lbank*) covers only the periods 1945-1994 and 1981-1994. The first years analyzed vary by country (for further information see, Lijphart 2012: 49).

<i>lfirst</i>	First (executives-parties) dimension
<i>lsec</i>	Second (federal-unitary) dimension
<i>leff</i>	Effective number of parliamentary parties
<i>lmin</i>	Minimal winning one-party cabinets (%)
<i>lexe</i>	Index of executive dominance
<i>ldis</i>	Index of disproportionality (%)
<i>lint</i>	Index of interest group pluralism
<i>lfed</i>	Index of federalism
<i>lbic</i>	Index of bicameralism
<i>lrid</i>	Index of constitutional rigidity
<i>ljud</i>	Index of judicial review
<i>lbank</i>	Index of central bank independence

Period covered for Lijphart's variables (except index of central bank independence): 1960-2010, central bank independence: 1960-1994.

*lfirstp* Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable (for details see Appendix 4).  
Period covered: 1969-2012.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.  
Source: Own calculations.

- lfirstpi Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable institutions (for details see Appendix 4).  
Period covered: 1969-2012.  
Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.  
Source: Own calculations.
- lfirstpb Lijphart's first dimension. Proxy variable behavior (see Appendix 4).  
Period covered: 1969-2012.  
Missing: Greece 1960-82, Portugal 1960-84 and Spain 1960-85.  
Source: Own calculations.
- instcons Index of institutional constraints of central state government according to Schmidt (1996); Minimum value=0; Maximum value=6; Range of data: 0 to 5, with high values indicating powerful constraints and low values indicating that the central government has a great deal of room for maneuverability.  
 Description: additive index composed of 6 dummy variables ('1' = constraints, '0'=else): (1) EU membership =1, (2) degree of centralisation of state structure (federalism =1), (3) difficulty of amending constitutions (very difficult =1) (4) strong bicameralism =1 (5) central bank autonomy =1 (6) frequent referenda =1.  
Source: Schmidt (1996: 172) transformed into time variant annual data. Data for central bank autonomy taken from Beyeler (2007: 123).  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Greece until 1973, Portugal until 1975 and Spain until 1976.
- plural Augmented index of institutional pluralism according to Colomer (1995); data for non-European states, Iceland and Luxembourg were added by Schmidt (1996). Minimum=0, maximum=7. 4 indicators (coded 0, 1 or 2): number of effective parties, bicameralism, elected president and decentralisation.  
Source: Schmidt (1996: 172).  
Period covered: 1960-1996.
- structur Augmented index of constitutional structures based on Huber/Ragin/Stephens (1993: 728); we turned the time-invariant variable into a time-variant variable by adjusting for institutional changes, such as Belgian the shift to federalism in 1993. Countries not covered by Huber et al. (1993; Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, New Zealand, Portugal and Spain) were classified according to Huber et al. using information from national sources and comparative analyses such as Schmidt (1996).  
 Description: additive index composed of five indicators: (1) federalism (0=absence, 1=weak, 2=strong), (2) parliamentary government =0, versus presidentialism or other =1, (3) proportional representation =0, modified proportional representation=1, majoritarian=2, (4) bicameralism (0 = no second chamber or second chamber with very weak powers, 1=weak bicameralism, 2=strong bicameralism), (5) frequent referenda=1.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Source: Huber/Ragin/Stephens (1993), Schmidt (1996).
- integr Integrated economy. Siaroff Index (1999). '5' indicating greatest integration, '1' least integrated economies. The Siaroff Index can be considered as a proxy for corporatism.  
Period covered: 1970, 1980, 1990 and 1995.  
Source: Siaroff (1999).

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- fed Federalism. Coded 0 = no, 1 = weak, 2 = strong.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Greece 1967-73, Portugal until 1975 and Spain until 1976.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).  
 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.
- Note:**  
 1. Spain is classified as a strong federal state, although formally it is a unitarian one.
- pres Presidential system. Coded 0 = parliamentary, 1 = president or collegial executive.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable fed.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).  
 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.
- Note:**  
 1. Finland is classified as a parliamentary system since 2000. In this regard we depart from the classification by Huber et al. (2004).
- singmemd Electoral system: single member districts or proportional representation. Coded 0 = proportional representation, 1 = modified proportional representation, 2 = single-member, simple plurality systems.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable fed.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).  
 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.
- strbic Strength of bicameralism. Coded 0 = no second chamber or second chamber with very weak powers, 1 = weak bicameralism, 2 = strong bicameralism.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable fed.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004). 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.
- referen Referendum. Coded 0 = None or infrequent, 1 = frequent.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable fed.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).  
 2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.
- judrev Judicial review. Coded 0 = no, 1 = yes.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: See variable fed.  
Source: Until 2000: Huber et al. (2004).

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

2001 onwards (Greece, Iceland, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: entire period): classification according to Huber et al. (2004) using information from national sources and comparative analyses.

**Note:**

1. *Belgium*: The Court of Arbitration was inaugurated in October 1984; therefore we classified it as "1" from 1985 onwards. In this regard we depart from the classification by Huber et al. (2004).

### 7. Openness of the economy

- kaopen** Index for the degree of openness in capital account transactions. The index incorporates a variable indicating the presence of multiple exchange rates, a second variable indicating restrictions on current account transactions and a third variable indicating the requirement of the surrender of export proceeds. The higher the value, the more open a country is to cross-border capital transactions.  
Period covered: 1970-2012.  
Missing: Luxembourg: entire period; Netherlands: 1975-1980 and Switzerland: 1970-1995.  
Source: Chinn and Ito (2008); [http://web.pdx.edu/~ito/Chinn-Ito\\_website.htm](http://web.pdx.edu/~ito/Chinn-Ito_website.htm) (Downloaded: 2014-08-25)
- openc** Openness of the economy, measured as total trade (sum of import and export) as a percentage of GDP, in current prices.  
Period covered: 1960-2011.  
Missing: None.  
Source: Feenstra, Robert C., Robert Inklaar and Marcel P. Timmer (2013), *Penn World Table Version 8.0*, National Accounts data, <http://www.rug.nl/research/ggdc/data/penn-world-table> (Downloaded: 2014-07-16).

### 8. Macroeconomic data

**Notes on macroeconomic variables:**

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
2. Data from previous releases of data sources (e.g. OECD Economic Outlook) used in case of missing values may be of limited comparability.

- outlays** Total outlays (disbursements) of general government as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Australia and Norway 1960/61; Belgium, Canada, Iceland and UK 1960-69; Denmark: 1960-70; France: 1960-62; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89; Netherlands: 1960-68; New Zealand: 1960-85; Portugal: 1960-76; Spain: 1960-63.  
Source: OECD (2013): "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

**Notes:**

1. Disbursements are transactions of providing financial resources. The two counterparties must record the transaction simultaneously.
2. *Sweden*: From 2000 on, the Church of Sweden has been separated from the state. In terms of national accounts, this causes a reclassification of the Church's activities from

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

the public to the private sector. As a consequence, growth in private consumption in 2000 is higher than it would otherwise have been, while conversely, growth in public consumption is lower. (Source: OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", Sources and Methods).

3. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).
4. *Australia 1962-88, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).
5. *Iceland 1970-79 and France 1963-77*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

receipts Total receipts of general government as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missings: Belgium, Canada, Iceland and UK: 1960-69, Denmark: 1960-70, France: 1960-62, Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89, Netherlands 1960-68, New Zealand 1960-85, Norway: 1960/61, Portugal 1960-76, Spain 1960-63,

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

### Notes:

1. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).
2. *Australia 1962-88, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Iceland 1970-79 and France 1963-77*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *Sweden*: See variable outlays.
5. *Australia 1960/1961*: Data is taken from OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 91", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00606-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

realgdpgr Growth of real GDP, percent change from previous year.

Period covered: 1961-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

### Notes:

1. Real GDP refers to the volume of Gross Domestic Product, at constant market prices (variable "GDPV" in the OECD Economic Outlook databases).
2. *Greece 1961-92*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Denmark 1961-66*: Data is taken from OECD (2004), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 75", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00091-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *Switzerland 1961-65*: Data is taken from SECO, State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (2013), "Historical Time Series", available at

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

[http://www.seco.admin.ch/suchen/index.html?keywords=SFSO&go\\_search=Suchen&lang=de&site\\_mode=intern&nsb\\_mode=yes&search\\_mode=AND#volltextsuche](http://www.seco.admin.ch/suchen/index.html?keywords=SFSO&go_search=Suchen&lang=de&site_mode=intern&nsb_mode=yes&search_mode=AND#volltextsuche) (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

nomgdpgr Growth of nominal GDP, percent change from previous year.

Period covered: 1961-2012.

Missing: None.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

**Notes:**

1. Nominal GDP refers to the value of Gross Domestic Product, at current market prices (variable "GDP" in the OECD Economic Outlook databases).
2. *Germany 1961-91*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Greece 1961-95 and Ireland 1961-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *Denmark 1961-66 and Switzerland 1961-65*: Data is taken from OECD (2004), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 75", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00091-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
5. Data from previous releases of OECD Economic Outlook databases (Notes 2-4) may be of limited comparability.

inflation Growth of consumer price index (CPI), all items, percent change from previous year; used as a measure for inflation.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Denmark, Ireland and Netherlands: 1960.

Source: OECD (2014), "Key short-term indicators", Main Economic Indicators (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00039-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

**Notes:**

1. *Iceland 1968-75*: Data is taken from OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 91", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00606-en> (Downloaded: 2012-08-08).
2. *Ireland 1961-75*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Denmark 1961-66*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 86", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00370-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *UK 1960-87*: Estimated values.

debt Gross government debt (financial liabilities) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Australia: 1960-88; Austria, Japan, Norway, Portugal and Sweden: 1960-69; Belgium and France: 1960-68; Canada: 1960; Denmark and Iceland: 1960-79; Ireland: 1960-73; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89; New Zealand: 1960-92; Spain: 1960-75; UK: 1960-65.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

**Notes:**

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

1. *Austria 1970-94*: Data is taken from OECD (2012), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 92", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00646-en> (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).
2. *Canada 1961-69 and UK 1966-69*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Finland 1960-74*: Data is taken from OECD (1999), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 65", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00101-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
5. *Greece 1960-94, Ireland 1974-96 and Luxembourg 1990-97*: Data is taken from OECD (2005), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 78", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00088-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
6. *Iceland 1980-97*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
7. *Portugal 1970-94 and Spain 1976-79*: Data is taken from OECD (2001), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 70", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00096-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

deficit Annual deficit excluding net interest payments (government primary balance) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Belgium, Iceland and UK: 1960-69; Canada: 1960; Denmark: 1960-70; France: 1960-62; Luxembourg and Switzerland: 1960-89; Netherlands: 1960-68; New Zealand 1960-85; Portugal: 1960-76; Spain: 1960-63.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

### Notes:

1. *Australia 1960-88 and France 1963-77*: Data is taken from OECD (2009), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 85", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00367-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
2. *Canada 1961-69, Greece 1960-94 and Ireland 1960-89*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
4. *Iceland 1970-79*: Data is taken from OECD (2008), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 84", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00082-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

adjustdef Cyclically adjusted annual deficit excluding net interest payments (government primary balance) as a percentage of potential GDP.

Period covered: 1970-2012.

Missing: Australia, Belgium, Japan, Netherlands: 1970; Denmark, Sweden: 1970-1972; Finland: 1970-1976; France: 1970-1977; Greece: 1970-1975; Iceland, Ireland Norway: 1970-79; Luxembourg, Switzerland: 1970-1989; New Zealand: 1970-1985; Portugal: 1970-1980; Spain: 1970-78; UK: 1970/71.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Notes:**

1. *Belgium and Netherlands 1971-85, Denmark and Sweden 1973-85, Finland 1977-85, France 1978-85, Germany 1970-91, Iceland and Norway 1980, Italy and US 1970-85, Japan 1971-85, Luxembourg 1990-95, Portugal 1981-85, Spain 1979-85, UK 1972-85*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
2. *Australia 1971-87, Austria 1970-85, Greece 1976-94, Ireland 1980-89, New Zealand 1986*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

interest

Long-term interest rate on government bonds.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Australia: 1960-68; Austria: 1960-64; Finland and Norway: 1960; Greece: 1960-94; Iceland: 1960-91; Ireland: 1960-70; Japan: 1960-65; Luxembourg: 1960-92; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal and Spain: 1960-65.

Source: OECD (2013), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 94", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00676-en> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

**Notes:**

1. Long-term (in most cases 10 year) government bonds are the instrument whose yield is used as the representative 'interest rate' for this area. Generally, the yield is calculated at the pre-tax level and before deductions for brokerage costs and commissions and is derived from the relationship between the present market value of the bond and that at maturity, also taking into account interest payments paid through to maturity (see OECD, Main Economic Indicators: Sources and Definitions).
2. *Germany 1960-90*: Data is taken from OECD (2011), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 90", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00588-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
3. *Ireland 1971-89*: Data is taken from OECD (2010), "OECD Economic Outlook No. 88", OECD Economic Outlook: Statistics and Projections (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00533-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).

### 9. Labour force data

**Notes:**

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
2. Data from previous releases of data sources used in case of missing values may be of limited comparability.

ttl\_labf

Total labour force, in thousands.

Period covered: 1960-2012.

Missing: Belgium and Switzerland: 2010-12; Australia, Denmark and Luxembourg: 2012; Greece, Iceland, Japan, Netherlands and Sweden: 2011/12.

Source: OECD (2014), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2014-04-04).

**Notes:**

1. *Austria 1968-93 and Portugal 1961-73*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

2. *Greece 1977-2010, Iceland 1964-2010, Japan 1960-2010, Sweden 1963-2010, Switzerland 1960-2009*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).
3. *Belgium 1999-2009*: Estimated values.

- civ\_labf** Civilian labour force, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Source: see variable `tll_labf`.  
Missing: Luxembourg: 2012.
- emp\_civ** Civilian employment, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Source: see variable `tll_labf`.  
Missing: None.
- labfopar** Total labour force as a percentage of population 15-64 (participation rate).  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: see variable `empratio` (except Norway 1971). Additional missings: Belgium 2008-11; Denmark 2012; Greece: 2009-2012; Iceland, Japan, Netherlands and Sweden 2011/12; Luxembourg 2012; Switzerland 2010-12.  
Source: see variable `empratio`.
- Notes:**
1. *Austria 1968-93 and Portugal 1961-1973*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).
  2. *Belgium 2000-2007*: Data is taken from OECD (2009), "Belgium", in: OECD, Labour Force Statistics 2009, OECD Publishing, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/lfs-2009-22-en-fr> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
  3. *Greece 1961, 1971, 1977-2008, Iceland 1964-2010, Japan 1960-2010, Sweden 1963-2010, Switzerland 1960-2009*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2012-10-26).
- empratio** Civilian employment as percentage of population 15-64.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Australia and Iceland: 1960-63; Austria: 1960-67; Denmark: 1961-64, 1966/68; Greece: 1960, 1962-70, 1972-76; Netherlands: 1960-74; Norway: 1971; Sweden: 1960-62.  
Source: OECD (2014), "Labour Force Statistics: Population and labour force", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00288-en> (Downloaded: 2014-07-16).
- emp\_ag** Civilian employment in agriculture, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Luxembourg and Switzerland: 2012.  
Source: see variable `tll_labf`.
- emp\_ind** Civilian employment in industry, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: France, Luxembourg and Switzerland: 2012.  
Source: see variable `tll_labf`.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Note:**

1. *France 1989-2009*: Estimated values.

emp\_serv Civilian employment in services, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: France, Luxembourg and Switzerland: 2012.  
Source: see variable ttl\_labf.

**Note :**

1. *France 1989-2009*: Estimated values.

emp\_un Unemployed, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Iceland 1960.  
Source: see variable ttl\_labf.

unemp Unemployment rate as a percentage of civilian labour force.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Iceland 1960.  
Source: Source: OECD (2014), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2014-07-16).

**Note:**

1. *Luxembourg 1960-1984*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD (2010), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2013-05-14).

st\_unemp Standardised unemployment rates (series adjusted for seasonal variations).  
Period covered: Early 1980s onwards or earlier until 2012; exceptions: Austria (1993) and Iceland (1991) begin later.  
Source: OECD (2014), "Key short-term indicators", Main Economic Indicators (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00039-en> (Downloaded: 2014-07-16).

**Notes:**

1. *Germany 1978-90*: Data is taken from Main Economic Indicators, Vol. 2008, release 01 (Downloaded: 2008-01-15).
2. *Belgium, Netherlands and Sweden 1970-81, Finland 1964-86, France 1978-82, Italy 1980/81, Norway 1972-87, Spain 1978-85 and UK 1971-81*: Estimated values.
3. *Denmark 1982, Finland 1964-87, France 1978-82, Greece 1983-98, Iceland 1991-2002, Ireland 1982, Italy 1979-82, Luxembourg 1982, Netherlands 1970-82, Norway 1972-88, Spain 1978-86, Swenden 1970-82, Switzerland 1975-2009, UK 1971-82*: Data taken from OECD (2010), "Key short-term indicators", Main Economic Indicators (database). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00039-en> (Downloaded: 2011-11-07).

### 10. Industrial disputes and trade unions

**Note concerning nld, wi, wdlost and strike:**

For notes on variation in comparability and breaks in series, see ILOSTAT Database.

nld Number of industrial disputes (strikes and lockouts).  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Australia and Norway 2009-12; Austria 1960-78, 2003/04, 2011/12; Belgium 1981-84, 1986/87, 2001-12; Canada and Denmark 2009/10; France 1968,

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

2005-12; Germany 1960-2008; Greece 1960-75, 1999-2012; Iceland 1960-68, 2005-12; Italy 2010-12; Luxembourg 1960-81, 1994-2005, 2007-12; Portugal 1960-76, 2008-12; Spain 1960-70.

Source: International Labour Office (ILO). 2013. ILOSTAT Database.

[www.ilo.org/ilostat](http://www.ilo.org/ilostat) (Downloaded 2014-07-17). Until 1970 (USA until 1973): Huber et al. (2004).

**Note:**

1. *Luxembourg:* Data taken from a previous version of the ILO database (ILO Laborsta Internet, <http://laborsta.ilo.org/>, Downloaded: 2009-10-19).

- wi Workers involved in labour disputes, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: see variable nld, except: Austria 1960-78, 2003/04, 2011; Germany 1960-2008. In addition: Finland 2009/10; New Zealand 2008; Portugal 1978.  
Source: see variable nld.
- wdlost Working days lost (due to strikes and lockouts), in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: see variable nld, except: Austria 1960-78, 2003/04, 2011; Belgium 2001-08; France 2005-10; Germany 1960-2008. In addition: Finland 2009/10; Italy 2009; Portugal 1978, 1981.  
Source: see variable nld.
- strike Index of strike activity, working days lost per 1000 workers; calculation:  $(wdlost/emp\_civ)*1000$ .  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Australia and Norway 2009-12; Austria 2012; Belgium 1981-84, 1986/87, 2009-12; Canada, Denmark and Finland 2009/10; France 1968, 2011/12; Greece 1960-75, 1999-2012; Iceland 1960-68, 2005-12; Italy 2009-12; Luxembourg 1960-81, 1994-2005, 2007-12; Portugal 1960-76, 1978, 1981, 2008-12; Spain 1960-70.  
Source: own calculations.
- grossu Total reported union members, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2011.  
Missing: Australia: 1997-2011; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 1978/79, 1981/82, 1984, 1986-89, 1991, 1993/94, 1996/97, 1999/2000, 2002/03, 2009/10; Iceland: 1960-78, 1980-90, 2009-11; Japan: 1991-94, 1996-99, 2001-03; Luxembourg: 1960-69; 1971, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002, 2004/05, 2007, 2009-11; Netherlands: 2004; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 1980-83, 1985, 1987-89, 1991-94, 1996, 1998-2001, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011; Spain: 1960-96, 1971-76, 2011.  
Source: Visser (2013), ICTWSS: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2012.
- Notes:**
1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).
  2. *Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010:* data taken from Visser (2011).
- netu Net union membership (gross minus independent workers, students, unemployed or retired members).  
Period covered: 1960-2011.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

Missing: Australia: 2010/11; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011, France: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 2009/10; Iceland: 1960-78, 2009-11; Luxembourg: 1960-69, 2009-11; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 2011; Spain: 1960-76, 2011.

Source: Visser (2013).

**Notes:**

1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013)
2. *Canada 1979*: estimated data.
3. *Ireland 1996 onwards*: independent unions estimated (2.5% of total).
4. *Greece 2000 onwards*: retired members estimated (9% of total; includes public sector)
5. *UK 1960*: without members in Irish Republic and retired members estimated (10% of gross membership).
6. *Australia 1997-2009; Luxembourg 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002; Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010*: data taken from Visser (2011).

ud Net union membership as a proportion wage and salary earners in employment (union density).

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missing: Australia: 2010/11; Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and US: 2011; France: 2011; Greece: 1960-76, 2009/10; Iceland: 1960-78, 2009-11, Luxembourg: 1960-69, 2009-11; New Zealand: 1960-69; Portugal: 1974-77, 2011; Spain: 1960-76, 2011;

Source: Visser (2013).

**Notes:**

1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).
2. *Sweden 2008 and 2010*: estimated data.
3. *Australia 1997-2009; Luxembourg 1982-86, 1988-92, 1994-96, 1999-2002; Portugal 1960-1973; USA 1979, 1981-2010*: data taken from Visser (2011).

adjcov Bargaining (or union) coverage, adjusted. Visser (2013: 23f.) defines it in the following way: “[E]mployees covered by collective (wage) bargaining agreements as a proportion of all wage and salary earners in employment with the right to bargaining, expressed as percentage, adjusted for the possibility that some sectors or occupations are excluded from the right to bargain (removing such groups from the employment count before dividing the number of covered employees over the total number of dependent workers in employment).”

Period covered: 1960-2011.

Missings: see Visser (2013).

Source: see Visser (2013).

**Note:**

1. For detailed information about data sources and breaks in series, see Visser (2013).

### 11. Public social expenditure and revenue data

**Notes on socexp\_t\_pmp and onwards:**

1. The entry ‘0.0’ represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.
2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- sstran Social security transfers as a percentage of GDP. Social assistance grants and welfare benefits paid by general government (benefits for sickness, old-age, family allowances, etc.).  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: Greece, Portugal and Spain: 1961-67; Iceland: 1961-67 and 1969; Luxembourg: 1987-89; New Zealand: 1983-85.  
Source: OECD (2010), "National Accounts at a Glance: National Accounts at a Glance", OECD National Accounts Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00369-en> (Downloaded: 2014-07-29).
- Notes:**
1. *Until 1969* (all countries) and *New Zealand 1970-82*: Data is taken from OECD Historical Statistics, various years.
  2. *Austria 1970-75; Belgium and Japan 1970-84; Denmark, Ireland, Switzerland and UK 1970-89; Finland 1970-74; France 1970-77; Germany 1970-90; Greece, Iceland, Norway, Portugal and Spain 1970-94; Italy 1970-79; Luxembourg 1970-86; Sweden 1970-92*: Data is taken from SourceOECD, National Accounts Statistics, Historical Statistics - Structure or composition of certain economic aggregates, Vol. 2002, release 01 (Downloaded: 2006-08-21).
  3. *Japan 1985-93*: Data is taken from a previous release of the OECD National Accounts Statistics database (Downloaded: 2011-04-14).
  4. *Canada 1970-80 and Greece 1995-2004*: Data is taken from a previous release of the OECD National Accounts Statistics database (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
  5. Please consider that there may be breaks in series and that the data of the different sources may be of limited comparability.
- socexp\_t\_pmp Total public and mandatory private social expenditure as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zealand and United States: until 2010).  
Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89, 2010; Iceland: 1980-89; Norway: 1981-84, 1986/87; Switzerland: 2009.  
Source: OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en> (Downloaded: 2013-08-08).
- socexp\_c\_pmp Public and mandatory private social expenditure in cash as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zealand and United States: until 2010).  
Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.  
Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.
- socexp\_k\_pmp Public and mandatory private social expenditure in kind as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009 (except Australia, Germany, Ireland, New Zealand and United States: until 2010).  
Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.  
Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.
- oldage\_pmp Total public and mandatory private expenditure on old age as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.  
Source: see variable socexp\_t\_pmp.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

survivor_pmp	Total public and mandatory private survivor benefits as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
incapben_pmp	Total incapacity-related benefits (public and mandatory private) as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
health_pmp	Total public and mandatory private expenditure on health as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp, except Austria. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
family_pmp	Total public and mandatory private expenditure for families as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
almp_pmp	Total public and mandatory private expenditure on active labour market programmes as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland, Italy and Japan: 1980-89. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
unemp_pmp	Cash expenditure for unemployment benefits as a percentage of GDP (public and mandatory private). <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Ireland: 1980-84; Norway: 1981-84; Switzerland: 2009. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
housing_pmp	Total public and mandatory private expenditure on housing as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> Austria: 1980-84, 1986-89; Belgium: 1980-1999; Iceland 1980-89; Japan and USA: entire period; Norway: 1980-84, 1986/87; Switzerland: 2009. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.
othsocx_pmp	Public and mandatory private expenditure on other social policy areas as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered:</u> 1980-2009. <u>Missing:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp. In addition: Denmark: 1980-82. <u>Source:</u> see variable socexp_t_pmp.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**12. Family policy****Notes on fallow\_pmp and onwards:**

1. The entry '0.0' represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.
2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.

fallow_pmp	Total public and mandatory private cash benefits for family allowances as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered</u> : 1980-2009. <u>Missing</u> : Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Norway: 1981-84, 1986/87; Switzerland: 2009. <u>Source</u> : OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en">http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en</a> (Downloaded: 2012-11-23).
mpleave_pmp	Total public and mandatory private cash benefits for maternal and parental leave as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered</u> : 1980-2009. <u>Missing</u> : see variable fallow_pmp. In addition: Australia: 1980-94; USA: entire period. <u>Source</u> : see variable fallow_pmp.
othfam_c_pmp	Other public and mandatory private cash benefits as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered</u> : 1980-2009. <u>Missing</u> : see variable fallow_pmp. In addition: Belgium, Luxembourg: 1980-89; Canada, Denmark, Switzerland, USA: entire period. <u>Source</u> : see variable fallow_pmp.
daycare_pmp	Total public and mandatory private social expenditure for day-care and home-care services as a percentage of GDP (benefits in kind). <u>Period covered</u> : 1980-2009. <u>Missing</u> : see variable fallow_pmp. In addition: Canada: 1980-97; Greece and Switzerland: 1980-89; UK: 1980-95; USA: 1980-90 and 1997. <u>Source</u> : see variable fallow_pmp.
othfam_k_pmp	Other public and mandatory private benefits in kind as a percentage of GDP. <u>Period covered</u> : 1980-2009. <u>Missing</u> : see variable fallow_pmp. In addition: Canada: 1991-2009; Japan: 1980-93; Luxembourg: 1980-2000; Netherlands and Switzerland: entire period. <u>Source</u> : see variable fallow_pmp.

**Note:**

1. *Canada 1991-2009*: data are included in another category.

**13. Labour market policy****Notes on servadmi\_pmp and onwards:**

1. The entry '0.0' represents nil or less than half of the last digit used.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

2. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.
3. *Germany 1980-90*: expenditures refer to West Germany; from 1991 onwards, expenditures refer to the unified Germany.
4. For more detailed definitions, see the Appendix 5.

**servadmi\_pmp** Public and mandatory private employment services and administration as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Greece and Norway: 1980-84, 1998-2009; Norway: 1980-84, 2007-09; Iceland and Japan: 1980-89; Italy: 1980-2003; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.

Source: OECD (2012), "Social Expenditure: Aggregated data", OECD Social Expenditure Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00166-en> (Downloaded: 2012-11-23).

**Note:**

1. *Greece 1998-2007* and *Italy 2000-03*: Data is taken from a previous release of OECD Social Expenditure Statistics database (Downloaded: 2011-04-29).

**training\_pmp** Public and mandatory private expenditure on labour market training as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland: 1980-89, 2009; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Luxembourg: 1998/99; Switzerland: 1980-84, 2009.

Source: see variable **servadmi\_pmp**.

**Note:**

1. *Greece 1998*: See note **servadmi\_pmp**.

**jobrot\_pmp** Public and mandatory private expenditure on job rotation and job sharing as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, France, Iceland, Japan, New Zealand and Switzerland: entire period; Austria, Belgium, Canada, Norway and USA: 1980-84; Denmark: 1980-93; Germany, Greece and Portugal: 1980-97; Ireland: 1980-84, 1998-2009; Italy: 1980-89; Luxembourg and UK: 1998-2009; Sweden: 1980-95.

Source: see variable **servadmi\_pmp**.

**incent\_pmp** Public and mandatory private expenditure on employment incentives (recruitment and employment maintenance incentives) as a percentage of GDP.

Period covered: 1980-2009.

Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark: 1980-1993; Iceland: entire period; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.

Source: see variable **servadmi\_pmp**.

**disabled\_pmp** Public and mandatory private expenditure on supported employment and (vocational) rehabilitation of persons with a reduced working capacity as a percentage of GDP.



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Greece: 1980-84, 1995-97; Iceland: entire period; Italy: 1980-89; Japan: 1980-89, 2008/09; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland: 2008/09.  
Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.
- jobcrea\_pmp      Public and private mandatory expenditure on direct job creation (usually in the public or non-profit sector) as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Norway, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Iceland: entire period; Italy and Japan: 1980-89; Luxembourg: 1998-2001; Portugal: 1980-85; Switzerland 1980-84, 2009.  
Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.
- startup\_pmp      Public and mandatory private support of unemployed persons (or closely-related groups) starting enterprises or becoming self-employed as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Sweden and USA: 1980-84; Denmark and Portugal: 1980-85; Iceland: entire period; Italy: 1980-89; Japan: 1980-2001, 2008/09; Netherlands: 1998-2009; Norway: 1980-1994; Switzerland: 1980-1993, 2009.  
Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.
- compen\_pmp      Public and mandatory private unemployment compensation and severance pay (in cash) as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Iceland: 1980-89; Ireland: 1980-84; Norway 1981-84; Switzerland: 2009.  
Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.
- earretir\_pmp      Public and private mandatory expenditure (in cash) on early retirement for labour market reasons as a percentage of GDP.  
Period covered: 1980-2009.  
Missing: Australia: 1980-2002; Austria: 1981-84, 1986-89; Canada: 1980-92; Germany: 1980-84; Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand and USA: entire period; Norway: 1980-1993; Portugal: 1980-89, 1999-2009; Sweden: 1980, 1993-96; Switzerland: 2009.  
Source: see variable servadmi\_pmp.
- emprot\_reg      Employment protection strictness provided through legislation and as a result of enforcement processes (scale of 0-6; higher values indicate stricter employment protection). This indicator measures the strictness of regulation of individual dismissal of employees on regular/indefinite contracts.  
Period covered: 1985-2012.  
Missing: Iceland and Luxembourg: 1985-2007 and New Zealand: 1985-89.  
Source: OECD Indicators of Employment Protection. Annual time series data 1985-2013. <http://www.oecd.org/els/emp/EPL-timeseries.xlsx> (Downloaded: 2014-01-06).

**Notes:**

1. Specific requirements for collective dismissals are not included.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**emprot\_temp** Employment protection strictness provided through legislation and as a result of enforcement processes (scale of 0-6; higher values indicate stricter employment protection). This indicator measures the strictness of regulation on the use of fixed-term and temporary work agency contracts.  
Period covered: 1985-2012.  
Missing: Iceland and Luxembourg: 1985-2007 and New Zealand: 1985-89.  
Source: OECD Indicators of Employment Protection. Annual time series data 1985-2013. <http://www.oecd.org/els/emp/EPL-timeseries.xlsx> (Downloaded: 2014-01-06).

### 14. Income inequality

**pre\_gini** Pre-tax Gini index on market income (before taxes and transfers) among households with heads aged 25-59, in percent. Estimated from the LIS database, <http://www.lisproject.org>.  
Period covered: 1967-2010 (see note below).  
Missing: Iceland, Japan, Luxembourg, New Zealand, and Portugal entirely (see note below).  
Source: David Brady, Evelyne Huber, and John D. Stephens (2014): *Comparative Welfare States Data Set*. University of North Carolina and WZB Berlin Social Science Center. <http://www.unc.edu/~jdsteph/common/data-common.html> (Downloaded: 2014-07-29).

**post\_gini** After-tax Gini index on disposable income (after taxes and transfers) among households with heads aged 25-59, in percent. Estimated from the LIS database, <http://www.lisproject.org>.  
Period covered: 1967-2010 (see note below).  
Missing: see variable pre\_gini (see note below).  
Source: see variable pre\_gini.

**Note:**

Detailed record on data points covered:

*Australia* 1981, 1985, 1989, 1995, 2001 and 2003; *Austria* (1987), 1994, (1995), 1997, (2000) and 2004; *Belgium* 1985, 1988, 1992, (1995), 1997 and 2000; *Canada* 1971, 1975, 1981, 1987, 1991, 1994, 1997/98, 2000, 2004 and 2007; *Denmark* 1987, 1992, 1995, 2000 and 2004; *Finland* 1987, 1991, 1995, 2000 and 2004; *France* (1979), 1981, 1984, 1989, 1994, 2000 and 2005; *Germany* 1973, 1978, 1981, 1983, 1989, 1994, 2000, 2004 and 2007; *Greece* 1995, 2000 and 2004; *Ireland* 1987, 1994-1996, 2000 and 2004; *Italy* 1986/87, 1989, 1991, (1993), 1995, 2000, 2004, 2008 and 2010; *Netherlands* 1983, 1987, 1990, 1993, 1999 and 2004; *Norway* 1979, 1986, 1991, 1995, 2000 and 2004; *Spain* 1980, 1990, 2000, 2004, 2007, 2010; *Sweden* 1967, 1975, 1981, 1987, 1992, 1995, 2000 and 2005; *Switzerland* 1982, 1992, 2000, 2002 and 2004; *UK* 1969, 1974, 1979, 1986, 1991, 1994, 1995, 1999, 2004; *USA* 1974, 1979, 1986, 1991, 1994, 1997, 2000, 2004, 2010.  
 In brackets: data only available for variable post\_gini.

### 15. International Labour Organization

**ilo\_tot** Total number of ILO-conventions ratified (minus conventions denounced) up to the corresponding year, including conditional ratifications.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: None.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

Source: ILO (2011), NORMLEX Database of International Labour Standards, <http://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=1000:20020:0::NO::> (Downloaded: 2014-03-21).

### 16. Demographic data

**Note on demographic data:**

1. Please consider that there may be breaks in series.

- pop Total population, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: None.  
Source: OECD (2014), "Labour Force Statistics: Summary tables", OECD Employment and Labour Market Statistics (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00286-en> (Downloaded: 2014-07-29)
- pop15\_64 Population 15-64, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: None.  
Source: see variable pop.
- pop65 Population over 65, in thousands.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: None.  
Source: see variable pop.
- elderly Population over 65, as a percentage of population.  
Period covered: 1960-2012.  
Missing: None.  
Source: see variable pop.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

### Appendix

#### **Appendix 1 Notes concerning the variables gov\_right, gov\_cent, gov\_left**

---

All entries were calculated on the basis of Schmidt and Beyer (1992), Woldendorp, Keman and Budge (1998), Ismayr (2003), Keesing's Archive, European Journal of Political Research, Neue Zürcher Zeitung, People in Power (<http://www.circa-uk.demon.co.uk/pip.html>) and the Parline database (<http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/parlinesearch.asp>). The classification of parties was done according to Schmidt (1996). If there was no classification by Schmidt, we classified parties using the following assignments (see Appendix 2):

- **'Left'** denotes social democratic parties and political parties to the left of social democrats
- **'Right'** denotes liberal and conservative parties
- **'Center'** denotes center parties, in particular Christian Democratic or Catholic parties. According to Schmidt (1996: 160), center parties favor a "moderate social amelioration in a location to the left of conservative or conservative-neoliberal parties."

#### **Appendix 2 Assignment of governing political parties to left, center, right**

---

##### **Australia**

- left Australian Labour Party (ALP)
- center ----
- right Liberal Party (LIB)  
Country National Party (CNT)

##### **Austria**

- left Socialist Party (SPÖ)  
Communist Party (KPÖ)
- center People's Party (ÖVP)
- right Freedom Party (FPÖ)

##### **Belgium**

- left Social Progressive Alternative (SP.a) (until 2001: Flemish Socialist Party, in 2003 and 2007: electoral coalition with SPIRIT)  
Communist Party (KPB/PCB)  
Francophone Socialist Party (PS)  
AGALEV  
ECOLO
- center Christian Democrat & Flemish (CD & V) (until 2001: Christian People's Party (CVP))  
Democrat Humanist Centre (CDH) (until 2002: Christian Social Party (PSC))  
Francophone Democratic Front (FDF)  
New Flemish Alliance (N-VA) (former: Flemish/People's Union (VU))  
Democratic Union (DU)  
Wallon Rally (RW)
- right Liberal Party (LP)  
Open Flemish Liberals & Democrats (Open VLD) (former: Party of Liberty and Progress (PVV))

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

Reform Movement (MR) (former: Francophone Liberal Reform Party (PRL))

**Canada**

- left -----
- center Liberal Party (LIB)
- right Progressive Conservative Party (PC)

**Denmark**

- left Social Democrats (SD)  
Left Socialist Party (LSP)  
Socialist People's Party (SPP)  
Communist Party (COM)
- center Centre Democrats (CDM)  
Christian People's Party (KRF, CPP)
- right Liberals (Venstre) (LIB)  
Conservative People's Party (KF)  
Justice Party  
Radical Party (Social Liberal Party) (RV)

**Finland**

- left Social Democrats (SDP)  
Finnish People's Democratic Union (SKDL)  
Social Democratic League (TPSL)  
Left-Wing Alliance (VAS)  
Green League (VIHR)
- center Centre Party (KESK)  
Liberal People's Party (LKP)  
Christian Democrats (KD) (former Christian League, SKL)  
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)
- right National Coalition (KOK)  
Swedish People's Party (SFP/RKP)

**France**

- left Socialist Party (PS)  
Communist Party (PCF)  
Greens (V)  
Movement for Citizens (MDC)  
Generation Ecology  
Left Radicals (PRG) (former Mouvement des radicaux de gauche (MRG) and  
Radical Socialist Party (RSP))
- center Centre of Social Democrats (CDS), Democratic Force (FD)  
Popular Republican Movement (MRP)  
Centre of Progress and Modern Democracy (PDM)  
Union for French Democracy (UDF)  
Reformers' Movement (REF, Reformers' Movement)  
Republican Party (PR)  
New Centre (NC)
- right Gaullistes (GAUL)  
Centre National des Indépendants (IND)  
Centre Democracy and Progress (CDP)  
Gaullists, Rally for the Republic (RPR) (former Union pour la Nouvelle République (UNR) and Union des Démocrates pour la République (UDR), in 2002:  
Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP))  
Radical Party (RAD)

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Germany**

- left Social Democrats (SDP)  
Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- center Christian Democratic Union (CDU)  
Christian Social Union (CSU)
- right German Party (DP)  
Free Democrats (FDP)

**Greece**

- left Pan-Hellenic Social Movement (PASOK)  
Communist Party (KKE)  
Democratic Left (DIMAR)
- center -----
- right Political Spring (POLAN)  
New Democracy (ND)  
National Radical Union (ERE)  
Centre Union, Union of the Democratic Centre (EDIK)

**Iceland**

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)  
People's Alliance (PA, USP)  
Social Democratic Alliance (SDA)  
Left-Greens (LG)
- center Progressive Party (PP)  
Union of Liberals and Leftists (ULL)  
Regional Equality Platform (REP)
- right Independence Party II (IP)  
Citizens' Party (CP)

**Ireland**

- left Labour Party (LAB)  
Democratic Left (DL)  
Green Party (GP)
- center Republican Party (Clann na Poblachta) (CNP)  
Fine Gael (FG)
- right Party of the Land (Clann na Talmhan) (CNT)  
Progressive Democrats (PD)  
Fianna Fail (FF)

**Italy**

- left Socialist Party of Proletarian Unity (PSIU)  
Communist Party (PCI)  
Socialist Party (PSI)  
United Socialist Party (PSU)  
Social Democratic Party (PSDI)  
Greens  
Party of the Democratic Left (PDS)  
The Democrats (DEM)  
Di Pietro List (DPL)
- center Socialists and Radicals (RnP, former Rose in the Fist)  
Christian Democratic Party (DC)  
Republican Party (PRI)  
Italian Popular Party (PPI)

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- Union of the Centre (UDC)
- Dini List – Italian Renewal (RI)
- Democratic Union (UD)
- Union of Republican Democrats (UDR), since 1999 known as UDEUR (Union of Democrats for Europe)
- Christian Democratic Centre, United Christian Democrats (CCD, CDU)
- Christian Democracy for the Autonomies (DCpA)
- right The People of Freedom (PdL)
- Liberal Party (PLI)
- Forza Italia (FI)
- Lombard League, Northern League (LN)
- National Alliance (AN)

**Note:**

17.01.95 – 17.05.96: Caretaker government (Prime Minister: Dini)

**Japan**

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)
- Japan Communist Party (JCP)
- Democratic Socialist Party (DSP)
- center United Democratic Socialists (UDS)
- Komei Party, Komeito (CGP)
- (New) Sakigake Party (NPS)
- Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ)
- right Liberal Democratic Party (LDP)
- New Liberal Club (NLC)
- Japan Renewal Party (JRP)
- Japan New Party (JNP)
- New Conservative Party (NCP)
- Liberal Party (LP)
- People's New Party (PNP)
- (New) Sakigake Party

**Note:**

According to its party manifesto, the Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ) is a center-left party. Since it is more to the center than to the left, we classified it as 'center' with regard to the party composition of government. However, for the 'elections' variables, we assigned this left-liberal party to the socialist party family ('social') which includes both traditional social democratic parties as well as blends of social-democratic and liberal parties.

**Luxembourg**

- left Social Democratic Party (SDP)
- Communist Party (CP)
- Socialist Workers' Party (LSAP)
- center Christian Social Party (CSP)
- right Democratic Party (DP)

**The Netherlands**

- left Labour Party (PvdA)
- Political Party of the Radicals (PPR)
- center Catholic People's Party (KVP)
- Christian Democratic Appeal (CDA)
- Democratic Socialists' 70 (DS'70)
- Democrats' 66 (D66)
- Christian Union (CU)

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- right      People's Party for Freedom and Democracy (VVD)  
 Christian Historical Union (CHU)  
 Anti Revolutionary Party (ARP)  
 List Pim Fortuyn (LPF)

### **New Zealand**

- left        Labour Party (LAB)  
 Alliance (A)  
 Progressive Coalition (PC)

- center     -----

- right      National Party (NP)  
 New Zealand First (NZF)  
 United Party (U)

### **Norway**

- left        Labour Party (DNA, AP)  
 Socialist Left Party (SV)

- center     Centre Party (SP)                      Christian People's Party (KRF, CPP)

- right      Conservatives (Hoyre) (CON, H)  
 Liberals (Venstre) (LIB, V)

### **Portugal**

- left        Socialist Party (PS)  
 Communist Party (PCP)

- center     -----

- right      Social Democrats, Popular Democrats (PPD/PSD)  
 Centre Social Democrats, Popular Party (CDS-PP)  
 Popular Monarchist Party (PPM)

### **Spain**

- left        Socialist Party (PSOE)  
 Communist Party, United Left (PCE/PSUC/IU)

- center     People's (PP)  
 Union of the Democratic Centre (UCD)

- right      Convergence and Unity (CiU)

### **Sweden**

- left        Social Democrats (S)  
 Communist Party, Left Party (V)

- center     Agrarian Party, Center Party (C)  
 Christian Democratic Union (KDS)

- right      Conservatives, Moderate Unity Party (M)  
 People's Party (The Liberals) (FP)

### **Switzerland**

- left        Social Democrats (PSS/SPS)

- center     Christian Democrats (PDC/CVP)

- right      Radical Democrats (PRD/FDP)  
 Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP)  
 Conservative Democratic Party (BDP/PBD)

### **United Kingdom**

- left        Labour Party (LAB)

- center     -----



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- right            Conservative Party (CON)  
                      Liberal Democrats (LIB)

### USA

- left                -----  
 - center            Democratic Party  
 - right              Republican Party

### Appendix 3 Notes concerning votes and seats of political parties in national parliaments (lower houses in bicameral systems)

---

As a general rule we included data on votes and seats for a party if it reaches at least 2% of votes in an election. If a party did not reach that threshold, data for this party is not included for that election (neither on votes nor on seats) and it receives a zero.

For example, the only Liberal Party in country X has 1991: 1.7% (votes), 2.0% (seats); 1994: 2.0% (votes), 1.7% (seats); 1998: 5.0% (votes), 4.5% (seats); 2000: 0.9% (votes), 0.8% (seats). The data entered for the Liberal Party are therefore:

votes:	seats:
liberal1	slibera1
1991: 0	1991: 0
1994: 2.0	1994: 1.7
1998: 5.0	1998: 4.5
2000: 0	2000: 0

Our classification of party families started from the work by Lane, McKay and Newton (Lane et al. 1997), which distinguishes between 11 party families. We added a party family 'right-populist parties and ultra right parties ("right")' which includes all the ultra-right parties according to Lane, McKay, Newton and right-populist parties according to the definition of populist radical right parties by Cas Mudde (2007: 22-3). Three features distinguish these parties from other parties: Nativism, authoritarianism and populism. Examples are given in Mudde (2007: 44) and Mudde 2013: 3).

The national parties in a given family of parties were given consecutive numbers. If, for example, there are three political parties in the socialist spectrum of the party system, they were given the names social1, social2, and social3. The share of votes and seats were entered under these variable names for each party. This structure of the data set allows for various re-classification and aggregations.

In cases where data on votes are not available for parties separately due to electoral alliances we apply the following coding rules.

- If one party of the electoral alliance received more than 75% of the seats won by the electoral alliance, the total vote and seat share won by this alliance is entered under the variable name of this major party.
- If no party of the electoral alliance received more than 75% of the seats won by the electoral alliance, the total vote and seat share won by the alliance is entered under a new variable. If the alliance is not ideologically consistent we entered the corresponding values under the party family "alliance".

The variable 'others' refers to the percentage of total votes for all minor parties which received less than 2% of total votes each. The variable 'sothers' refers to the percentage of total seats in parliament for all minor parties which received less than 2% of total votes. Therefore, the variables on both votes and seats add up to 100%.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

There is one major problem with these classifications.

The classification is time-invariant. Some parties, however, changed their programs, goals and clienteles considerably over time. We do not adapt the classification to such changes systematically. However, in those cases where we are aware of major changes, we re-classified the party for the period after the change. Examples are the Swiss Peoples Party (SVP) or the Austrian Freedom Party (FPÖ). Both parties had been re-classified due to their change from an agrarian (SVP) or liberal (FPÖ) to a right populist party. Based on the literature we identified the years 1995 for the SVP and the year 1986 for the FPÖ as the time point when they switched from agrarian and liberal, respectively, to right-populist.

We recommend that users of the data set carefully consider whether our classifications fit their conceptual perspective and re-classify according to their needs.

Party family	Variable name						
socialist	social1	social2	social3	social4			
left-socialist	leftsoc1	leftsoc2	leftsoc3				
communist	comm1	comm2	comm3	comm4			
agrarian	agrarian						
conservative	conserv1	conserv2	conserv3	conserv4	conserv5	conserv6	
religious	relig1	relig2	relig3	relig4	relig5	relig6	relig7
liberal	liberal1	liberal2	liberal3	liberal4	liberal5	liberal6	
protest	protest1	protest2	protest3	protest4			
green	green1	green2	green3	green4			
ethnic	ethnic1	ethnic2	ethnic3	ethnic4			
right	right1	right2	right3	right4			
allia	allia						

If there is no party for a given variable, '0' is entered. For example, since the UK has no agrarian party, the variable 'agrarian' has the value '0' in the case of the UK.

The share of votes are entered under the party variable name. The share of seats are entered under the party variable name, preceded by an 's' (for 'seats'). For example, in the case of Australia under 'social1' votes for the Australian Labour Party are entered; the variable 'social1' denotes share of seats of the Australian Labour party.

The following list shows the identification numbers assigned to party names as found in Mackie and Rose (1991).

Assignments of political parties:

### Australia

- social1      1) Labour Party (ALP)
- social2      21) Democratic Labour Party
- agrarian      7) Country Party, National Party
- conserv1      18) Australian Liberal Party
- conserv2      --- One Nation
- conserv3      --- Family First
- liberal1      27) Australian Democrats
- liberal2      23) Australia Party
- green1      --- Australian Greens

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Austria**

- social1 1) Socialist Party (SPÖ)
- comm1 5) Communist Party (KPÖ)
- relig1 2) People's Party (ÖVP)
- liberal1 11) Freedom Party (FPÖ) (since 1986: right1)
- liberal2 17) Liberal Forum
- protest1 12) Democratic Progressive Party
- protest2 --- List Dr Martin – For Democracy, Control and Justice (Martin)
- green1 15) Green Alternative
- right1 11) Freedom Party (FPÖ) (until 1985: liberal1)
- right2 --- Alliance for the Future of Austria (BZÖ)

**Belgium**

- social1 3) Socialist Party (since 1977 split into 30 and 31)
- social2 30) Social Progressive Alternative (SP.a) (until 2001: Flemish Socialist Party (SP), in 2003 and 2007: electoral coalition with SPIRIT )
- social3 31) Francophone Socialist Party (PS)
- comm1 10) Communist Party (KPB/PCB)
- relig1 1) Catholic Party (since 1965 split into 19 and 20)
- relig2 19) Christian Democrat & Flemish (CD & V) (until 2001: Flemish Christian People's Party (CVP); in 2007: alliance with N-VA)
- relig3 20) Democrat Humanist Centre (CDH) (until 2002: Francophone Christian Social Party (PSC))
- liberal1 2) Liberal Party (since 1971 split into 21 and 22)
- liberal2 21) Open Flemish Liberals & Democrats (Open VLD) (until 2007: Flemish Liberals & Democrats (VLD); former: Flemish Party of Liberty and Progress (PVV))
- liberal3 22) Reform Movement (MR) (former Francophone Liberal Reform Party (PRL); in 1995: Alliance with FDF; in 1999: Fédération PRL-FDF-MCC) (Francophone)
- liberal4 -- Vivant (joined the VLD in 2007)
- liberal5 5) Liberal/Socialist cartels
- liberal6 -- De Decker's List (LDD)
- protest1 32) ROSSEM/ROSSUM
- green1 26) ECOLO (Francophone)
- green2 27) Green! (Flemish) (former: AGALEV)
- ethnic1 8) New Flemish Alliance (N-VA) (former Flemish/People's Union (VU))
- ethnic2 14) Francophone Democratic Front (FDF)
- ethnic3 18) Walloon Rally
- right1 28) Democratic Union for the Respect of Labour (UDRT/RAD)
- right2 33) National Front (FN-NF) (Francophone)
- right3 29) Flemish Block (since 2007: Flemish Interest)

**Canada**

- social1 8) CCF, New Democratic Party
- conserv1 1) (Progressive) Conservative Party
- liberal1 2) Liberal Party
- liberal2 10) Social Credit
- liberal3 12) Ralliement des Créditistes (belongs to 10 before 1965 and after 1968)
- protest1 17) Reform Party/Canadian Alliance (joined Conservative Party in 2004)
- green1 --- Greens
- ethnic1 18) Bloc Québécois

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Denmark**

- social1 4) Social Democrats (SD)
- leftsoc1 16) Socialist People's Party
- leftsoc2 18) Left Socialist Party
- comm1 9) Communist Party (DKP)
- comm2 24) Enhedslisten (EL) The Unity List
- conserv1 1) Conservative People's Party (KF)
- conserv2 15) Independents' Party
- relig1 19) Christian People's Party (KRF)
- liberal1 5) Radical Party (Social Liberal Party) (RV)
- liberal2 6) Liberals (Venstre)
- liberal3 10) Justice Party (DRF)
- liberal4 20) Centre Democrats
- liberal5 17) Liberal Centre
- liberal6 --- New Alliance (Y)
- protest1 21) Progress Party (FP)
- protest2 22) Common Course
- right1 --- Danish People's Party (DF, splinter from the Progress Party, see EJPR vol. 36: 377)

**Finland**

- social1 1) Social Democrats (SDP)
- leftsoc1 15) Social Democratic League (belongs to 1 before 1958 and after 1972)
- comm1 13) Finnish People's Democratic Union (SKDL)
- comm2 22) Democratic Alternative (DEVA)
- comm3 23) Left-Wing Alliance (VAS)
- agrarian 4) Centre Party (KESK), [1983: Electoral Alliance with the Liberal Party (9)]
- conserv1 8) National Coalition (KOK)
- relig1 16) Christian Democrats (KD) (until 1999: Christian League (SKL))
- liberal1 9) Liberal People's Party (LKP)
- liberal2 --- Progressive Finnish Party (NUORS)
- protest1 17) Finnish Rural Party (SMP)) (since 1995: True Finns (right1))
- green1 20) Green League (VIHR)
- ethnic1 2) Swedish People's Party (SFP/RKP)
- right1 17) True Finns (PS) (until 1994: Finnish Rural Party (SMP; protest1))

**France**

- social1 1) Socialist Party (PS)
- social2 --- Other Left
- social3 1967/1968: Electoral Alliance of Socialist Party (1) and Radical Socialist Party (2)
- leftsoc1 19) Unified Socialist Party
- leftsoc2 --- Extreme/Far Left (Extrême gauche)
- comm1 9) Communist Party (since 2009: most important part of the coalition Left Front)-
- conserv1 12) Conservatives/Moderates
- conserv2 14) Gaullists, Rally for the Republic (RPR) (in 2002: Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP))
- conserv3 20) Republican Party (PR)
- conserv4 30) Union for French Democracy (UDF)
- conserv5 23) Centre Democracy and Progress (CDP, only in 1973; from 1973 on belongs to 21)
- relig1 13) Popular Republican Movement (MRP)
- relig2 21) Democratic Centre (belongs to 30 since 1978)

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- relig3 26) Reformers' Movement (only in 1973 as a coalition of 2, 21 and some smaller parties)
- relig4 New Centre (NC)
- liberal1 2) Radical Socialist Party (RSP)
- liberal2 25) Radical Left (PRG) formerly called Left Radicals (MRG) (see Hix, 1997: 37)
- green1 28) Greens (V, since 2010: Europe Ecologie – Les Verts)
- green2 31) Generation Ecology
- green3 --- Other Ecologists (Autres Ecologistes)
- right1 29) National Front

### Germany

- social1 2) Social Democrats (SPD)
- comm1 --- Party of Democratic Socialism (PDS) (since 2005: Left Party)
- conserv1 47) All-German Party (Gesamtdeutsche Partei)
- conserv2 41) German Party (DP)
- relig1 36) Christian Democratic Union (CDU)
- relig2 37) Christian Social Union (CSU)
- liberal1 38) Free Democrats (FDP)
- liberal2 --- Pirate Party
- green1 51) Greens/Alliance 90
- ethnic1 45) Refugee Party (GB/BHE)
- right1 49) National Democratic Party (NDP)
- right2 57) Republicans

#### Note:

1990 onwards: Data for unified Germany.

### Greece

- social1 37) Pan-Hellenic Socialist Movement (PASOK)
- social2 --- Democratic Social Movement
- leftsoc1 --- Democratic Left (DIMAR)
- comm1 4) Communist Party (KKE)
- comm2 United Left [formed of the 25) United Democratic Left (EDA), the Communist party (KKE) and the Communist Party of the Interior (KKEes)]
- comm3 47) Coalition of the Radical Left (SYRIZA; former Coalition of Left and Progress)
- comm4 35) Communist Party of the Interior (KKEes)
- conserv1 29) National Radical Union (ERE)
- conserv2 31) Progressives (KP)
- conserv3 36) New Democracy (ND)
- conserv4 50) Political Spring (POLAN)
- conserv5 30) Popular Social Party (LKK)
- liberal1 32) Centre Union, Union of the Democratic Centre (EDIK)
- liberal2 1) Liberal Party (KF)
- liberal3 24) Farmers' and Workers' Rally (SAE)
- green1 --- Ecologists Greens (OP)
- right1 38) National Alignment, National Front (EM)
- right2 --- Popular Orthodox Rally (LAOS)
- right3 --- Independent Hellenes (ANEL)
- right4 --- Golden Dawn (XA)

#### Note:

No data included for the period 1967-1973 (dictatorship).

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Iceland**

- social1 7) Social Democrats (SDP)
- social2 22) Social Democratic Federation
- social3 --- People's Movement (PM)
- leftsoc1 36) Social Democratic Alliance (SDA), (1999: United Left)
- leftsoc2 17) National Preservation Party
- comm1 37) Left-Greens (LG), until 1999: People's Alliance (PA))
- agrarian 8) Progressive Party (PP)
- conserv1 13) Independence Party II (IP)
- liberal1 20) Union of Liberals and Leftists (ULL)
- protest1 24) Citizens' Party II
- protest2 38) Liberal Party (LP)
- protest3 --- The Civic Movement (founded in 2009; the party only existed for a few months. Due to internal conflict a new party was formed: The Movement)
- green1 23) Women's Alliance (WA)
- green2 --- Iceland Movement

**Note:**

1999: The People's Alliance (14), the Social Democrats (7) and the Women's Alliance (23) formed the United Left and were assigned to the category leftsoc1. A breakaway group from the People's Alliance (14) remains in the category comm1 as the Left-Green Party (EJPR 2000, vol. 38).

**Ireland**

- social1 8) Irish Labour Party
- leftsoc1 19) Workers' Party
- leftsoc2 --- Democratic Left (joined Labour Party in 2002)
- leftsoc3 --- United Left Alliance (formed of the Socialist Party, People Before Profit Alliance and Workers and Unemployed Action Group)
- relig1 14) Fine Gael
- liberal1 10) Fianna Fail
- liberal2 15) Party of the Land (Clann na Talmhan)
- green1 24) Green Party
- ethnic1 6) Sinn Féin II, Sinn Féin III from 1982 onwards
- ethnic2 25) Progressive Democrats (dissolved in 2009)
- ethnic3 20) National H-Block Committee

**Italy**

- social1 3) Socialist Party (PSI) (in 2001: New PSI)
- social2 31) United Socialist Party (PSU/only in 1968, as a coalition of 3 and 23)
- social3 23) Social Democratic Party (PSDI), [2001: Alliance with the Greens under the name "the Sunflower", 2006: Alliance with the Radical Party (PR) under the name Rose in the Fist]
- social4 --- Democratic Party (PD) (formed of the Olive Tree and the Radical Party (PR), in 2006: The Olive Tree (the list comprises the Democrats of the Left (DS) and the Margherita-Daisy (DI)) )
- leftsoc1 30) Socialist Party of Proletarian Unity (PSIUP; belongs to 11 after 1972)
- leftsoc2 --- Democrats of the Left (DS) (reformist wing of the disbanded PCI (1991))
- leftsoc3 ---The Left/The Rainbow (SA)
- comm1 11) Communist Party (PCI)
- comm2 50) Communist Refoundation (RC) (left wing of the disbanded PCI (1991))
- conserv1 61) Forza Italia
- conserv2 20) Monarchist Party
- conserv3 27) Popular Monarchist Party

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- conserv4 ---The People of Freedom (PDL), (comprised of Forza Italia and National Alliance)
- relig1 17) Christian Democratic Party (DC), (since 1994: Italian Popular Party (PPI))
- relig2 --- Segni Pact (Patto Segni)
- relig3 --- Christian Democratic Centre (CCD)/United Christian Democrats (CDU) (conservative wing of the former DC (since 1994)) (since 2006 under the name Democratic Centre Union)
- relig4 --- European Democracy (DE)
- liberal1 19) Liberal Party (PLI)
- liberal2 4) Republican Party (PRI)
- liberal3 34) Radical Party (PR)
- liberal4 --- Dini List – Italian Renewal
- liberal5 --- Di Pietro List
- protest1 --- Pannella List Reformers
- green1 45) Greens (formerly Green Federation)
- right1 24) National Alliance (AN) (formerly Social Movement (MSI-DN))
- right2 42) Northern League (formerly Lombard League)
- allia 2001: La Margherita (PPI, the Democrats, Italian Renewal, UDEUR)

### Notes:

**1994:** Introduction of a new electoral system. 474 MPs of 630 are elected on the basis of a “single-ballot first-past-the post system”; the remaining 156 seats are distributed on the basis of a “proportional formula” (EJPR 1995: 398). Data on votes refer to the (proportional) list votes, data on seats refer to the total of seats gained by the party (proportional plus plurality system). However, by the end of 2005, a party-list proportional representation system was re-introduced.

### Japan

- social1 35) Social Democratic Party (Socialist Party) (SDP)
- social2 44) Democratic Socialist Party (DSP)
- social3 --- Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ)
- comm1 31) Japanese Communist Party (JCP)
- conserv1 43) Liberal Democratic Party (LDP)
- conserv2 48) Japan Renewal Party (Shinsei To) (JRP)
- conserv3 49) Japan New Party (JNP)
- conserv4 --- New Frontier Party (NFP) (Merger of JRP, JNP, DSP, and Komei in 1994. In 1997, NFP broke up into Democratic Political Party, Komeito and Liberal Party.) Liberal Party (LP) (successor of NFP after its break-up in 1997; joined DP in 2003)
- conserv5 --- (New) Sakigake Party (Splinter from LDP, 1993)
- relig1 45) Komei Party, Komeito (Clean Government Party) (CGP)
- liberal1 46) New Liberal Club (belongs to 43 before 1979 and after 1986 )
- liberal2 --- Your Party (YP)
- green1 Tomorrow Party of Japan (TPJ)
- right1 Japan Restoration Party (JRP)

### Note:

**1996:** Introduction of a new electoral system. 300 of 500 MPs (since 2000, 300 of 480) are elected in “single-seat constituencies with non-transferable single ballot and simple plurality”, the remaining 200 (180, since 2000) seats are distributed according to “proportional representation” (EJPR 1995: 412). Data on votes are arithmetic means of votes in both systems. For example: LDP received 38.6% of votes in single-seats constituencies and had had a share of 32.8% in the proportional system. The arithmetic mean is:  $(38.6 \times 300 / 500) + (32.8 \times 200 / 500) = 36.28 = 36.3$ .

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Luxembourg**

- social1 2) Socialist Workers' Party (LSAP)
- social2 19) Social Democratic Party (SDP)
- social3 21) Independent Socialists
- leftsoc1 --- The Left
- comm1 7) Communist Party (KPL)
- relig1 1) Christian Social Party (PCS/CSV)
- liberal1 16) Democratic Party (Liberal Party) (DP)
- protest1 18) Independent Movement (split into two factions after 1968, one belonging to 1 and the other to 16)
- protest2 20) Enrôlés de force
- protest3 24) Alternative Democratic Reform Party (Alternativ Demokratesch Reformpartei, ADR) (Until 2006: Action Committee for Democracy and Pensions' Right (Pensions Action)
- green1 23) Green Alternative (GAP)
- green2 26) Green Left (GLEI)
- green3 28) Green Party (GLEI-GAP) (a merger of 23 and 26 in 1994)
- right1 27) Luxembourg for the Luxembourgers

**The Netherlands**

- social1 23) Labour Party (PvdA)
- social2 30) Democratic Socialists' 70 (before 1971 belonging to 23)
- leftsoc1 27) Pacifist Socialist Party
- leftsoc2 38) Socialist Party (SP)
- comm1 10) Communist Party (CPN)
- relig1 1) Anti Revolutionary Party (ARP) (in 1998: Reformed Political Federation (RPF), a splinter from the ARP)
- relig2 2) Catholic People's Party (KVP)
- relig3 6) Christian Historical Union (CHU)
- relig4 32) Radical Political Party
- relig5 34) Christian Democratic Appeal (CDA) (merger of ARP, KVP, and CHU in 1977)
- relig6 --- Christian Union (merger of RPF and Reformed Political Union in 2002)
- relig7 14) Political Reformed Party (SGP)
- liberal1 24) People's Party for Freedom and Democracy (Liberal Party) (VVD)
- liberal2 29) Democrats 66 (D66)
- protest1 28) People's Party of the Right (formerly Farmers' Party)
- protest2 42) United Old Persons' League, General Association of Elderly People (AOV)
- green1 38) Green Left (merger of 10, 27, 32 and Evangelical People's Party in 1989)
- right1 --- Centre Democrats (CD)
- right2 --- List Pim Fortuyn (LPF)
- right3 --- Freedom Party/Group Wilders (PVV)

**New Zealand**

- social1 3) Labour Party
- social2 18) New Labour Party
- social3 20) Alliance (Merger of 11, 17, 18, and two minor parties in 1993)
- comm1 12) Socialist Unity Party (between 1972 and 1984 party was a formation of the Communist Party)
- conserv1 9) National Party
- conserv2 --- United Future New Zealand
- conserv3 21) New Zealand First
- relig1 15) New Zealand Party
- relig2 16) Christian Heritage



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- relig3 Christian Coalition [electoral alliance of Christian Heritage Party (16) and the Christian Democrats]
- liberal1 11) Social Credit Party, Democratic Party
- liberal2 --- Association of Consumers and Taxpayers (ACT)
- green1 13) Values Party
- green2 17) Green Party (Aotearoa) (was part of Alliance (20) from 1991 until 1998)
- ethnic1 --- Maori Party

**Note:**

**1996:** Introduction of the Mixed Proportional System (MMP). Voters have two votes: one for the preferred party and one for the preferred candidate (EJPR 1997: 452). Data on votes refer to the percentages of 'party votes'.

**Norway**

- social1 4) Labour Party (DNA)
- leftsoc1 14) Socialist Left Party (SV)
- comm1 9) Communist Party
- agrarian 7) Centre Party (SP)
- conserv1 2) Conservatives (Hoyre) (H)
- relig1 10) Christian People's Party (KRF)
- liberal1 1) Liberals (Venstre) (V)
- liberal2 16) Liberal People's Party, New People's Party
- protest1 15) Progress Party (FRP) (formerly Anders Lange's Party)

**Portugal**

- social1 4) Socialist Party (PS)
- leftsoc1 7) Popular Democratic Union (UDP)
- leftsoc2 --- Block of the Left (B.E.) (Merger of Popular Democratic Union (7), Socialist Revolutionary Party and Politica XXI in 1999)
- comm1 5) Democratic Movement
- comm2 2/19) Communist Party/Greens (PCP-PEV), [also known as United Democratic Coalition (CDU); until 1978: Communist Party; 1979-1985: In alliance with the Democratic Movement under the Name United People Alliance; 1987: Merger with the Greens]
- relig1 1) Centre Social Democrats, Popular Party (CDS-PP)
- liberal1 3) Social Democrats, Popular Democrats (PPD/PSD)
- liberal2 17) Democratic Renewal Party (PRD)
- green1 16) Greens
- allia 1979 and 1980: Electoral Alliance of Centre Social Democrats (1), Social Democrats (3), and 8) Popular Monarchist Party.

**Note:**

Data are only included for the democratic period.

**Spain**

- social1 1) Socialist Party (PSOE)
- social2 32) Popular Socialist Party (only in 1977; afterwards part of 1)
- comm1 2) United Left (PCE/PSUC/IU), [until 1986: Communist Party – United Left (PCE-IU)]
- conserv1 28) Union of the Democratic Centre (UCD)
- conserv2 29) People's Party (PP), [until 1989: Popular Alliance (AP); in 1982: Electoral Alliance of Popular Alliance and Popular Democratic Party; in 1986: Electoral Alliance of Popular Alliance, Popular Democratic Party and Liberal Party]
- conserv3 50) Democratic and Social Centre (CDS)
- liberal1 -- Progress and Democracy Union (UpyD)

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- ethnic1 44) Convergence and Unity (CiU), [1977: Coalition of Democratic Convergence of Catalonia and Democratic Left of Catalonia in 1977]
- ethnic2 -- Catalanian Left Republicans (ERC)
- right1 45) National Union (formed in January 1979 by the leader of Fuerza Nueva. The National Union also included Falange Espanola, the Alianza Nacional and other neo-fascist groups)

**Note:**

Data are only included for democratic period.

**Sweden**

- social1 5) Social Democrats (S)
- comm1 10) Communist Party, Left Party (V)
- agrarian 7) Agrarian Party, Centre Party (C)
- conserv1 6) Conservatives, Moderate Unity Party (M)
- relig1 20) Christian Democratic Union (KDS)
- liberal1 18) People's Party (The Liberals) (FP)
- green1 23) Ecology/Green Party (MP)
- right1 24) New Democracy (NYD)
- right2 --- Sweden Democrats (SD)

**Switzerland**

- social1 5) Social Democrats (PSS/SPS)
- leftsoc1 18) Progressive Organisations (POCH)
- comm1 9) Communist Party (PdA)
- agrarian 6) Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP; since 1995: right4)
- conserv1 --- Conservative Democratic Party (BDP/PBD)
- relig1 1) Christian Democrats (PDC/CVP)
- relig2 8) Protestant People's Party (EVP)
- liberal1 4) Radical Democrats (PRD/FDP)
- liberal2 12) Independents' Party (LDU)
- liberal3 3) Liberal Party (PLS/LPS)
- green1 19) Greens (GPS)
- green2 20) Green Alliance (GBS), Alternative Greens (DACH)
- green3 --- Swiss Green Liberal Party (GLS/PVL)
- right1 14) National Action, Swiss Democrats (SD)
- right2 16) Republican Movement
- right3 22) Swiss Motorists (AP), Freedom Party
- right4 6) Swiss People's Party (UDC/SVP; until 1994: agrarian)

**United Kingdom**

- social1 6) Labour Party
- conserv1 1) Conservative Party
- liberal1 2) Liberal Party
- liberal2 21/22) Alliance Parties, Social Liberal Democrats, Liberal Democrats
- protest1 --- UK Independence Party (UKIP)
- ethnic1 11) Scottish National Party (SNP)

**USA**

- conserv1 8) Republican Party
- liberal1 1) Democratic Party

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Appendix 4 Notes concerning the variables for consensus democracy**

*lfirstp*: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable.

This variable is a time-variant proxy for Lijphart's first dimension 'parties-executives'. The data is composed of the moving averages of 10 years of four indices:

- The number of effective parties in parliament (*effpar\_leg*).
- The absence of minimal winning and single-party majority cabinets (calculated from *gov\_type* with (1) single-party majority government and (2) minimal winning coalition coded as '0', otherwise '1').
- The proportionality of electoral systems (variable *dis\_gall* multiplied by [-1]).
- A measure for cabinet dominance, calculated by taking the average cabinet duration (see Lijphart 1999: 129-134), which we measured by the number of changes in government per year (*gov\_chan*).

For example, the value of *effpar\_leg* for 1969 in our calculations is the arithmetic average for the annual data of *effpar\_leg* for 1960-69, the value for 1970 is the average for the period 1961-70, etc.

These moving averages of the four indices were z-standardized and added up. The sum was again z-standardized, which yields the value of the proxy variable. For example, the data entered for 1969 is the z-standardized sum of the z-standardized moving averages for the years 1960-69.

The z-standardization was done across nations for each time point. For example, the z-scores for number of effective parliamentary parties in 2000 were calculated on the basis of the data for the 23 countries in 2000.

We deliberately did not include the index of interest group pluralism, which in our view does not measure the extent of compromise and negotiation between political elites on the level of parliaments and parties. It rather taps dimensions of corporatism and varieties of capitalism. In order to measure the fit of this proxy variable with Lijphart's original variable, we correlated the mean of *lfirstp* for the years 1981 to 2010 with *lfirst* in 1981 (i.e. Lijphart's first dimension measured for the years 1981 to 2010). The correlation is 0.898 (significant at  $p < .001$ ).

*lfirstpi*: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable institutions.

*lfirstpb*: Lijphart first dimension. Proxy variable behavior.

Lijphart has been criticized for mixing up the effects of societal cleavages (such as the number of parties) and institutions (such as electoral rules) with elite behavior such as coalition building and cabinet dominance (Ganghof 2005). Therefore we calculated separate proxy variables both for institutions (*lfirstpi*) based only on the variables 'number of parties' and 'electoral disproportionality' and for behavior (*lfirstpb*) based only on the variables 'cabinet dominance' (as measured in *lfirstp*) and 'absence of minimal winning coalitions and single-party majority governments'. The calculation as z-scores of moving averages is the same as with regard to *lfirstp*.

The arithmetic means for the period 1969 to 2012 of *lfirstp* are correlated with the means of *lfirstpi* 0.845 and with the means of *lfirstpb* 0.826; both correlations are significant at  $p < .001$  ( $n=23$ ). The correlation between the means of *lfirstpi* and *lfirstpb* (1969-2012) is 0.397 and not significant at  $p < .05$  ( $n=23$ ).

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

### Appendix 5 Coverage and classification of OECD data for public expenditure and participants in labour market programmes

---

**OECD classification** (Source: <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/38/41/42116566.pdf>); variable names listed in italics.

1. Public employment services and administration (*servadmi\_pmp*)
  - 1.1 Placement and related services
  - 1.2 Benefit administration
  - 1.3 Other
2. Training (*training\_pmp*)
  - 2.1 Institutional training
  - 2.2 Workplace training
  - 2.3 Alternate training
  - 2.4 Special support for apprenticeships
3. Job rotation and job sharing (*jobrot\_pmp*)
  - 3.1 Job rotation
  - 3.2 Job sharing
4. Employment incentives (*incent\_pmp*)
  - 4.1 Recruitment incentives
  - 4.2 Employment maintenance incentives
5. Supported employment and rehabilitation (*disabled\_pmp*)
  - 5.1 Supported employment
  - 5.2 Rehabilitation
6. Direct job creation (*jobcrea\_pmp*)
7. Start-up incentives (*startup\_pmp*)
8. Out-of-work income maintenance and support (*compen\_pmp*)
  - 8.1 Full unemployment benefits
    - 8.1.1. Unemployment insurance
    - 8.1.2. Unemployment assistance
  - 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits
  - 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits
  - 8.4 Redundancy compensation
  - 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation
9. Early retirement (*earretir\_pmp*)
  - 9.1 Conditional
  - 9.2 Unconditional

#### Notes on the classification

The classification is restricted to measures which are "targeted". This excludes measures such as: training that is generally available to employed adults; apprenticeship programmes generally available to young adults; in-work benefits available to all employees whose earnings fall below a certain threshold; and early retirement benefits that are conditional only on age or contribution record. Examples of "targeted" programmes that are included are: programmes targeted to the registered unemployed; training for those known to be at risk of involuntary job loss; apprenticeship support for those who have been unable to find an apprenticeship through the usual channels; and special early retirement benefits created to promote the restructuring of a particular industrial sector or enterprise.

1. For OECD's publication of data with some notes by country, see the OECD Employment Outlook, Statistical Annex, ([www.oecd.org/employment/outlook](http://www.oecd.org/employment/outlook)). A general note on scope and comparability of the data is also provided. For EU countries, individual programme data are provided in annual publications Labour Market Policy Expenditure and Participants (<http://epp.eurostat.cec.eu.int>) and Eurostat (2006), Labour market policy database methodology: revision of June 2006, Luxembourg: Office for the Official Publications of the European Communities.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

### 1. Public employment services and administration (*servadmi\_pmp*)

- 1.1 Placement and related services
- 1.2 Benefit administration
- 1.3 Other

- 1.1 Placement and related services include open information services, referral to opportunities for work, training and other forms of assistance, counselling and case management of jobseekers, financial assistance with the costs of job search or mobility to take up work, and job brokerage and related services for employers if spending on these functions can be separately identified. Services provided by the main public employment service and by other publicly-financed bodies are included.
- 1.2 Benefit administration expenditure includes the budget of institutions that manage the unemployment and early retirement benefits reported in categories 8 and 9 if this spending can be separately identified.
- 1.3. Other expenditure includes the budget of institutions that provide placement and related services (if the relevant spending could not be separately reported in category 1.1 above); institutions that manage labour market programmes in categories 2 to 7 below (except for costs already included in these categories); and institutions that administer the benefits in categories 8 and 9 below (if these costs could not be separately identified in Category 1.2 above). However, if these institutions' budgets cover functions that are beyond the scope of this database (neither placement and related services nor the management of active or passive labour market programmes within the scope of categories 2 to 9), estimated spending on those functions should be excluded.

### 2. Training (*training\_pmp*)

- 2.1 Institutional training
- 2.2 Workplace training
- 2.3 Integrated training
- 2.4 Special support for apprenticeships

- 2.1 Institutional training refers to programmes where most of the training time (75% or more) is spent in a training institution (school/college, training centre or similar).
- 2.2 Workplace training refers to programmes where most of the training time (75% or more) is spent in the workplace.
- 2.3 Alternate training (formerly called Integrated training) refers to programmes where training time is evenly split between a training institution and the workplace.
- 2.4 Special support for apprenticeship refers to programmes providing incentives to employers to recruit apprentices from labour market policy target groups, or training allowances for particular disadvantaged groups.

Apprenticeship schemes that are generally available are considered to be part of the general education and vocational training system and should be excluded.

### 3. Job rotation and job sharing (*jobrot\_pmp*)

- 3.1 Job rotation
- 3.2 Job sharing

- 3.1 Job rotation refers to schemes promoting the full substitution of an employee by an unemployed person or a person from another target group for a fixed period.
- 3.2 Job sharing refers to schemes promoting the partial substitution of an employee by an unemployed person or a person from another target group.

### 4. Employment incentives (*incent\_pmp*)

- 4.1 Recruitment incentives
- 4.2 Employment maintenance incentives

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- 4.1 Recruitment incentives are programmes making payments for a limited period only to facilitate the recruitment of unemployed persons and other target groups into jobs where the majority of the labour costs are covered by the employer. They include payments to individuals that are conditional upon the takeup of a new job (back-to-work bonus, mobility/relocation allowance or similar) only if they are targeted (e.g. restricted to the long-term unemployed).
- 4.2 Employment maintenance incentives are similar but facilitate continuing employment, in a situation of restructuring or similar. Generally available in-work benefits for low-income groups should not be included.

### 5. Supported employment and rehabilitation (*disabled\_pmp*)

- 5.1 Supported employment
- 5.2 Rehabilitation

- 5.1 Supported employment consists of subsidies for the productive employment of persons with a permanently (or long-term) reduced capacity to work. These measures typically provide ongoing support and have no planned duration. However, lifetime sheltered work provisions are normally considered as part of social policy and are thus beyond the scope of the database. This subcategory combines and largely replaces the former subcategories 5.1 Regular employment and 5.2 Sheltered employment, but recruitment incentives payable for a fixed period to the employer or the disabled worker upon hiring in a regular job should now appear in category 4.1.
- 5.2 Rehabilitation refers to vocational rehabilitation for persons with a reduced working capacity which prepares them to move on to work or regular training. Social and medical rehabilitation are not included. This subcategory largely replaces the former subcategory 5.3 Other rehabilitation and training; participation by disabled workers in regular training (distinct from rehabilitation) should now appear in category 2.

### 6. Direct job creation (*jobcrea\_pmp*)

These programmes create additional jobs (usually to benefit a the community/society and are generally in the public or non-profit sector, although similar projects in the private sector may also be eligible) for the long-term unemployed or persons otherwise difficult to place. The majority of the labour costs are normally covered by the public financing. Provisions for lifetime sheltered work in a non-productive environment should not be included. The former subcategories 6.1 Permanent and 6.2 Temporary (which were largely redundant since few countries reported permanent direct job creation) are no longer included.

### 7. Start-up incentives (*startup\_pmp*)

Programmes that promote entrepreneurship by encouraging the unemployed and target groups to start their own business or to become self-employed.

### 8. Out-of-work income maintenance and support (*compen\_pmp*)

- 8.1 Full unemployment benefits
  - 8.1.1. Unemployment insurance
  - 8.1.2. Unemployment assistance
- 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits
- 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits
- 8.4 Redundancy compensation
- 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation

- 8.1.1 Unemployment insurance refers to benefits payable to workers satisfying criteria for membership in an unemployment insurance scheme. These are often paid only for a limited period.
- 8.1.2 Unemployment assistance refers to benefits payable to workers either failing to satisfy criteria for membership in an unemployment insurance scheme or who have exceeded the period for entitlement to unemployment insurance benefit. Unemployment assistance is normally means tested.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- 8.2 Partial unemployment benefits refer to benefits compensating for the loss of wage or salary due to short-time working arrangements and/or intermittent work schedules where the employer/employee relationship continues.
- 8.3 Part-time unemployment benefits refer to benefits paid to persons working part-time who have lost a full-time job or an additional part-time one and are seeking to work more hours.
- 8.4 Redundancy compensation refer to capital sums paid from public funds to employees who have been dismissed through no fault of their own by an enterprise that is ceasing or cutting down its activities.
- 8.5 Bankruptcy compensation refers to capital sums paid from public funds to employees to compensate for wages not paid by the employer due to bankruptcy/insolvency.

### **9. Early retirement (*earretir\_pmp*)**

- 9.1 Conditional
- 9.2 Unconditional

These programmes facilitate the full or partial early retirement of older workers who are assumed to have little chance of finding a job.

- 9.1 Conditional programmes oblige the employer to replace the retiree with an unemployed person or a person from another target group.
- 9.2 Unconditional programmes carry no obligation for the employer to replace the retiree, but must be targeted to cases of unemployment or job reduction caused by economic events such as the restructuring of an industrial sector or of a business enterprise. Programmes that are conditional only on age or contribution record should not be included.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

**Literature**

- Beyeler, Michelle. 2007. *The Paths to Price Stability. An International Comparison*. Bern: Haupt.
- Brady, David, Evelyne Huber and John D. Stephens. 2014. *Comparative Welfare States Data Set*. University of North Carolina and WZB Berlin Social Science Center.
- Cameron, David R. 1985. Does Government Cause Inflation? Taxes, Spending and Deficits. In *The Politics of Inflation and Economic Stagnation*, eds. Leon N. Lindberg and Charles S. Maier, 224-279. Washington: Brookings.
- Chinn, Menzie D. and Hiro Ito. 2008. A New Measure of Financial Openness. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis* 10(3): 309-322.
- Colomer, Josep M. 1995. Introduccion. In *La politica en Europa*, ed. Josep M. Colomer, 1-26. Barcelona: Ariel.
- Ebbinghaus, Bernhard and Jelle Visser. 2000. *Trade Unions in Western Europe since 1945 (The Societies of Europe)*. New York, Basingstoke, Oxford: Grove's Dictionaries, Macmillan.
- EJPR, European Journal of Political Research. *Political Data Yearbook*, various issues.
- Feenstra, Robert C., Robert Inklaar and Marcel P. Timmer. 2013. *The Next Generation of the Penn World Table*, available for download at [www.ggdc.net/pwt](http://www.ggdc.net/pwt).
- Gallagher, Michael. 1991. Proportionality, Disproportionality and Electoral Systems. *Electoral Studies* 10: 33-51.
- Ganghof, Steffen. 2005. Normative Modelle, institutionelle Typen und beobachtbare Verhaltensmuster: Ein Vorschlag zum Vergleich parlamentarischer Demokratien. *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 46(3): 406-431.
- Golder, Sona N. 2010. Bargaining Delays in the Government Formation Process. *Comparative Political Studies* 43(1): 3-32.
- Hix, Simon and Christopher Lord. 1997. *Political Parties in the European Union*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin and John D. Stephens. 1993. Social Democracy, Christian Democracy, Constitutional Structure, and the Welfare State. *American Journal of Sociology* 99: 711-749.
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin and John D. Stephens. 1997. *Comparative Welfare States Data Set*. Northwestern University and University of North Carolina: <http://www.lisproject.org/publications/welfaredata/welfareaccess.htm> (Downloaded: 2012-10-31).
- Huber, Evelyne, Charles Ragin, John D. Stephens, David Brady and Jason Beckfield. 2004. *Comparative Welfare States Data Set*. Northwestern University, University of North Carolina, Duke University and Indiana University.
- ILO. *The Cost of Social Security*. International Labour Office, Geneva, various years.
- ILO. *Yearbook of Labour Statistics*. International Labour Office, Geneva, various years
- ILO. 2013. ILOSTAT Database. [www.ilo.org/ilostat](http://www.ilo.org/ilostat).
- ILOLEX. *ILOLEX Database of International Labour Standards*. International Labour Office, Geneva.
- IMF, International Monetary Fund. *The Annual Report of Exchange Restrictions*, various issues.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. 1995. *Women in Parliaments 1945-95. A World Statistical Survey*. Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union. 1997. *Men and Women in Politics. Democracy Still in the Making. A World Comparative Study*. Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union.
- Ismayr, Wolfgang. 2003. *Die politischen Systeme Westeuropas*, 3. Auflage. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.



## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- Laakso, Markku and Rein Taagepera. 1979. Effective number of Parties: A measure with Application to West Europe. *Comparative Political Studies* 12(1): 3-27.
- Lane, Jan-Erik, David McKay and Kenneth Newton. 1997. *Political Data Handbook: OECD Countries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend. 1984. *Democracies. Patterns of Majoritarian and Consensus Government in Twenty-One Countries*. New Haven/London: Yale University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend. 1994. Democracies: Forms, performance, and constitutional engineering. *European Journal of Political Research* 25: 1-17.
- Lijphart, Arend. 1999. *Patterns of Democracy: Government Form and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Lijphart, Arend. 2012. *Patterns of Democracy: Government Form and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries*. Second Edition. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Mackie, Thomas T. and Richard Rose. 1991. *The International Almanac of Electoral History*. London, Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- McDonnell, Duncan and Marco Valbruzzi. 2014. Defining and classifying technocrat-led and technocratic governments. *European Journal of Political Research*. Early view, article first published online. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1475-6765.12054/pdf> (downloaded: 2014-06-02).
- Mudde, Cas. 2007. *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudde, Cas. 2013. Three decades of populist radical right parties in Western Europe: So what? *European Journal of Political Research* 52: 1-19.
- OECD. *Economic Outlook*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Employment and Labour Market Statistics*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Factbook*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Historical Statistics*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Indicators of Employment Protection*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Main Economic Indicators*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *National Accounts Statistics*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- OECD. *Social Expenditure Database (SOCX)*. Paris: OECD, various years.
- Rae, Douglas W. 1968. A note on the Fractionalization of Some European Party Systems. *Comparative Political Studies* 1: 413-418.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1992. Regierungen: Parteipolitische Zusammensetzung. In *Lexikon der Politik, Band 3: Die westlichen Länder*, ed. Manfred G. Schmidt, 393-400. München: C.H. Beck.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1995. *Demokratietheorien*. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. 1996. When Parties Matter: A Review of the Possibilities and Limits of Partisan Influence on Public Policy. *European Journal of Political Research* 30: 155-183.
- Schmidt, Manfred G. and Jürgen Beyer. 1992. *Datensammlung zur parteipolitischen Zusammensetzung von Regierungen*. Heidelberg: unpublished manuscript.
- Siaroff, Alan. 1999. Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: Meaning and Measurement. *European Journal of Political Research* 36(2): 175-205.
- Visser, Jelle. 1996. *Unionisation Trends. The OECD Countries Union Membership File*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam, Centre for Research of European Societies and Labour Relations CESAR.
- Visser, Jelle. 2011. *THE ICTWSS Database: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2010*, Version 3.0. Amsterdam: Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies AIAS.
- Visser, Jelle. 2013. *ICTWSS: Database on Institutional Characteristics of Trade Unions, Wage Setting, State Intervention and Social Pacts in 34 countries between 1960 and 2012*, Version 4. Amsterdam: Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies AIAS.

## Codebook: Comparative Political Data Set I, 1960-2012

- Woldendorp, Jaap, Hans Keman and Ian Budge. 1998. Party government in 20 Democracies: an update (1990-1995). *European Journal of Political Research* 33: 125-164.
- Woldendorp, Jaap, Hans Keman and Ian Budge. 2000. *Party Government in 48 Democracies (1945-1998). Composition – Duration – Personnel*. London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Jaap Woldendorp, Hans Keman, Ian Budge. 2011. *Party Government in 40 Democracies (1945-2008). Composition-Duration-Personnel*. Web Document. [http://www.fsw.vu.nl/en/Images/Codebook%20Party%20Government%20Data%20Set%20files\\_tcm31-253909.pdf](http://www.fsw.vu.nl/en/Images/Codebook%20Party%20Government%20Data%20Set%20files_tcm31-253909.pdf) (downloaded: 2014-01-12).